# **DISCUSSION PAPER**

Non-Performing Loans of PSU Banks: Some Panel Results

Indira Rajaraman Garima Vasishtha

2005 SV

No. 4

November 2001





NIPFP Library

35808

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF PUBLIC FINANCE AND POLICY NEW DELHI

# NON-PERFORMING LOANS OF PSU BANKS: SOME PANEL RESULTS

INDIRA RAJARAMAN\* GARIMA VASISHTHA#

Abstract: The paper performs a panel regression on the definitionally uniform data now available for a five-year period ending in 1999-2000, on non-performing loans of commercial banks. The exercise is confined to 27 public sector banks, so as to investigate variations within a class that is homogenous on the ownership dimension. The exercise groups banks with higher than average NPAs into those explained by poor operating efficiency, and those where the operational indicator does not suffice to explain the high level of NPAs, and leaves an unexplained intercept shift. Two of the three weak banks identified by the Varma Committee, Indian Bank and United Bank of India, fall in this category. Recapitalisation of these banks with operational restructuring may therefore not be the solution, since there is clearly a residual problem even after controlling for operating efficiency.

Keywords: non-performing loans; banking reform; fixed effects JEL Classification: G18, G21

\* National Institute of Public Finance and Policy, New Delhi 110067; corresponding author: indira\_raja@yahoo.com

# Department of Economics, University of California at Santa Cruz.

## NON-PERFORMING LOANS OF PSU BANKS: SOME PANEL RESULTS

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The health of the financial sector is a matter of policy concern, most especially in developing countries where failure in financial intermediation can critically disrupt the development process. The link between the financial sector and growth has been the subject of a large literature, most recently reviewed by Khan and Senhadji, 2001, who conclude that while there is strong empirical evidence that robust financial markets support economic growth, there is very little work of operational relevance for improving the functioning of the financial sector.

This paper attempts to do that for commercial banks in India by performing a panel regression on the data now available over a five-year period ending in 1999-2000 on (definitionally unchanged) non-performing loans. The exercise is confined to 27 government-owned (PSU) banks,<sup>1</sup> so as to investigate the variations within a class that is homogenous on the ownership dimension. Ownership type is a well-known correlate of bank functioning everywhere (*see* Demirguc-Kunt and Huizinga, 1999, for 80 countries, and Sarkar *et.al.*, 1998, for India) and of the incidence of NPAs in the Indian environment in particular (Rajaraman *et.al.* 1999). Simple visual inspection of NPAs of banks shows that public sector banks in India carry some of the highest NPAs. The paradox is that public institutions have been least effective in performing the intermediation function.

The February 1999 Report of the M.S. Varma Working Group<sup>2</sup> classified public sector banks according to seven parameters covering solvency, operating efficiency

Not all necessarily with 100 percent government ownership. A further Bill introduced in 2000 seeks to reduce the minimum shareholding by government to 33 percent.
As summarised in Economic Survey 1999-2000.

and returns on assets, and identified three banks as weak: Indian Bank, UCO bank and United Bank of India. The Committee recommended recapitalisation subject to strict conditionalities relating to operational restructuring. Of the total capital injected into 19 PSU banks between 1992-93 and 1998-99 of Rs 20.45 thousand crore, 28 percent was injected into these three weak banks.<sup>3</sup>

For those banks identified through the panel exercise in this paper as having a problem beyond operating efficiency, recapitalisation even with operational restructuring may not be the answer.

Section II presents some background information on non-performing loans carried by commercial banks in India (termed non-performing assets, hence NPAs). Section III presents the model estimated and the results. Section IV concludes with implications of the findings for policy towards weak banks.

#### 11. NPA LEVELS OF COMMERCIAL BANKS

The high level of NPAs has to some degree been an outcome of the lifting of financial suppression since the mid-eighties, further accelerated with economic reform in the early nineties, in conjunction with the usual maturity mismatch of banks. NPAs are an important post-facto indicator of failure in evaluating credit risk, although clearly there are a number of exogenous factors at work, such as the legal and procedural obstacles that remain to liquidation of loss-making enterprises. Box 1 shows some decline in average gross and net NPAs as percentages of gross and net total advances respectively across all commercial banks, from 1995-96, the first year from which definitional stability obtains following a process of phased tightening over the earlier nineties of the definition of what constitutes an NPA. Net NPAs are obtained after adjusting part payments received and kept in suspense accounts, and total bank provisioning.<sup>4</sup> Definitional stability will be further disrupted in 2003-04, when the duration

3

Report on Trend and Progress of Banking in India 1999-2000: 70.

- Net NPAs are obtained from gross NPAs after deduction of the following: i.
  - balance in interest suspense account i.e. interest due but not received;
- ii. claims received from credit guarantors and kept in suspense account pending adjustment

of non-receipt of loan servicing for classification as non-performing will be reduced from two quarters to one.<sup>5</sup>

|                 | % NPA/advances |      |  |
|-----------------|----------------|------|--|
|                 | Gross          | Net  |  |
| End- March 1996 | 18.0%          | 8.9% |  |
| End-March 1997  | 15.7%          | 8.1% |  |
| End-March 1998  | 14.4%          | 7.3% |  |
| End-March 1999  | 14.7%          | 7.6% |  |
| End-March 2000  | 12.8%          | 6.8% |  |

A particular characteristic of NPAs in India is the extraordinarily wide crosssectional variation between banks. Clearly there are factors at work other than the barriers to industrial liquidation which are economy-wide and impact on all banks uniformly. In an earlier paper (Rajaraman et.al. 1999), these inter-bank variations in 1996-97 were examined for 99 banks across the entire ownership spectrum, in terms of net NPAs alone, since the redefinition of NPAs was initially accompanied by a move to a net from a gross concept. Fortunately the gross figures are also now reported.<sup>6</sup> The paper added to the conventional distinction between domestic and foreign ownership a further distinction between foreign banks by country of origin of dominant ownership, in order to test whether it is foreign ownership in and of itself, or the banking efficiency and technology correlates of the country of origin of the foreign bank, which determine NPA performance in the Indian environment. Foreign banks of Asian<sup>7</sup> origin were no better than long established domestic privately owned banks, but foreign banks originating in Europe and the U.S. were found to have significantly lower NPAs. The practice, especially, in developing/emerging countries, of seeing foreign banks in a single category in terms of superiority of risk management techniques is surprisingly

(for final settlement);

iii. part payment received and kept in suspense account; and

iv. total provisions held.

5

6

(Report on Trend and Progress of Banking in India 1996-97: 13). RBI Annual Report 2001, reporting a policy announced on 19 April 2001. Starting with the Report on Trend and Progress of Banks in India 1997-98. robust (see for example Hahm and Mishkin, 2000; Goldstein and Turner, 1996). In terms of other ownership categories, new domestic privately owned banks also had significantly lower NPAs. Domestic public-sector banks were the only category with higher NPAs. The other major finding of that exercise was that after controlling for bankspecific characteristics such as ownership, adherence to prudential solvency norms, or operating efficiency, the region of operation of the bank as measured by branch presence as a percent of the national total mattered. For every percent increase in bank exposure in a cluster of northeastern and eastern states,<sup>8</sup> there was a statistically significant<sup>9</sup> increase in NPAs as a percent of total advances. Another cluster of the four southern states together with Goa, Delhi, Chandigarh, Punjab, Haryana and Gujarat showed a statistically significant<sup>10</sup> decrease in percent NPAs for every percent increase in branch exposure. The limitation of that exercise, of course, was that credit exposure need not conform closely to branch exposure, although there should be a reasonably high correlation between the two. There has always been dissatisfaction about the low credit/deposit ratio in some regions, but clearly branch exposure would carry some local credit exposure as well. Were sub-nationally disaggregated indicators of performance for each bank available, this impact would be more transparently evident, but RBI bankspecific data are reported only in aggregate at national level. The importance of the operating environment has been highlighted by other empirical findings, most notably those of Demirguc-Kunt and Huizinga, 1998.

## III. THE MODEL AND FINDINGS

The model estimated for the set of 27 PSU banks covering the five years 1996-96 to 1999-2000,<sup>11</sup> had the following general structure for estimation of fixed group and time-effects:

 $y_{it} = k + \alpha_i + \gamma_t + \beta x_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$ 

<sup>9</sup> Coefficient of 0.07.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Middle Eastern and East Asian origin.
<sup>8</sup> Magheland

Assam, Manipur, Tripura, Meghalaya, Nagaland, Bihar, West Bengal and Orissa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Coefficient of 0.03.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Data sourced from <u>Report on Trend and Progress of Banking in India</u>, assorted issues.

where

y<sub>it</sub> = % Gross (Net) NPA/Gross (Net) Advances; i<sup>th</sup> bank, t<sup>th</sup> year.

k = common intercept.

 $\alpha_i$  = fixed group-effect intercept for i<sup>th</sup> bank; i=1,....27.

 $\gamma_t$  = fixed time-effect intercept for t<sup>th</sup> year; t=1,....5.

x<sub>it</sub> = indicator of solvency or operating efficiency; i<sup>th</sup> bank, t<sup>th</sup> year.

 $\epsilon_{it}$  = error term; i<sup>th</sup> bank, t<sup>th</sup> year.

Estimation was confined to an `effects' model alone, using LIMDEP which routinely report the Hausman test for fixed versus random effects. A random coefficients model was not estimated, since the attempt is to capture variations across banks in NPA levels, after controlling for an underlying uniform impact upon NPAs of the bank-specific solvency or operating indicator.

Two runs were attempted, one with capital adequacy as the bank-specific indicator of solvency, the second with operating profit as a percent of working funds as the indicator of operating efficiency.<sup>12</sup> The only alternative measure of operating efficiency from among the published indicators available, the net intermediation margin, was not chosen because, with public ownership, an overstaffed bank will more readily squeeze operating profit than vary the intermediation margin. Operating profits do not deduct provisioning for bad loans.

There was no prior expectation that solvency would be a correlate of bank performance with respect to NPAs, but the run serves as a benchmark against which to assess the incremental explanatory value of operating efficiency. A word of justification is called for on a model which regresses a stock variable (percent NPA of total advances) on a flow variable like operating profits. The intent is to capture the response of the stock to variations over time and across banks in operating efficiency, rather than to assess the impact on increments to the NPA stock of concurrent operating profits, which would in any case suffer from simultaneity bias.

12

This was estimated only over four years since data on operating profits were not available for

Results for gross NPAs are in table 1.<sup>13</sup> The estimated coefficient of capital adequacy in the first run is not statistically significant but carries the expected sign. Thus, the fixed group effects in that run basically separate the banks into those carrying statistically significant higher or lower NPAs than the mean for the pool. These intercepts are listed in the table. Intercepts that were not statistically significant, are not given in the table, but the banks are listed. All the seven banks in the State Bank group can be seen to fall at the mean (for PSU banks).

There are also two significant time-effect coefficients, showing a rise in gross NPAs by 1.96 percent in 1996-97 (a year of sharp deceleration in non-agricultural growth), and a fall of 2.74 percent in 1999-2000.

The Hausman statistic had a large value, thus favouring the fixed effects model over random effects.

In the second run, the coefficient for operating profits at (–)2.40 carries the expected negative sign, and is statistically significant. The time-effects are identical to those in the first run in terms of year, and similar in terms of magnitude of coefficient. But the group effects differ.

Two banks with higher than mean NPAs carry insignificant intercepts after controlling for operating profits, clearly indicating that the high NPAs in this case are a correlate of low operating efficiency. But there are four banks with high positive and significant intercepts, even after controlling for operating efficiency. They are: Indian Bank; Allahabad Bank; United Bank of India; and Punjab and Sind Bank. In all cases, the intercept is lower with operating efficiency, thus showing that low operating efficiency explains some, though not all of the NPA stock. Policy implications for financial sector reform are examined in the concluding section of the paper.

1995-96.

<sup>13</sup> NPAs are taken as a percent of total (gross/net) advances rather than as a percent of total assets. The former is a post-facto measure of failure to judge credit risk, whereas the latter is a measure of the Among banks with lower than average NPAs, likewise, there is one explained by better operating efficiency, and four with negative intercepts even after controlling for operating efficiency. In two of these (Bank of India and Union Bank of India), the intercept actually becomes a larger negative number. These together with a set of three which carry negative intercepts only in the second run, constitute a set of banks with lower NPAs than warranted by operating efficiency.

Finally, table 2 compares the results for the second run in table 1 with net NPA regressed on operating efficiency. The set of four banks with positive gross NPA intercepts in table 1 after controlling for operating efficiency is reduced by one with net NPA (more than mean provisioning) and is increased by three (less than mean provisioning). Likewise, two of the seven banks with low gross NPA drop out of the net NPA list because of less than mean provisioning, and one gets added because of more than mean provisioning.

### IV. CONCLUSIONS

The exercise reported in this paper groups banks (Box 2) with higher than average NPAs into those explained by poor operating efficiency, and those where the operational indicator does not suffice to explain the high level of NPAs and leaves an unexplained intercept shift. Two of the three weak banks identified by the Varma Committee, Indian Bank and United Bank of India, fall in this category. Recapitalisation of these banks with operational restructuring may therefore not be the solution, since there is clearly a residual problem even after controlling for operating efficiency. Box 2 also provides information on the dominant region of operation of each bank (20 percent or more of branch concentration). For banks operating in regions where there has been marked industrial decline, such as United Bank of India with its branch concentration in West Bengal, recapitalisation with operational structuring amounts to use of public funds with no discernible public purpose. Closure with liquidation of assets including real estate at market value should prove to be far more cost-effective even with full depositor protection.

threat to solvency posed by that misjudgement.

| High NPA, explained by<br>low operating efficiency              | Regional<br>presence<br>(% branches) | Low NPA, explained by<br>high operating efficiency             | Regional<br>presence<br>(% branches) |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| Central Bank of India   | Overseas Barn                        | SB Patiala   | PN: 49%                              |  |
| UCO Bank  | - Ine6 ete                           | Syndi  |                                      |  |
| High NPA, even after<br>controlling for<br>operating efficiency | e Bank<br>prose NPA, Jees<br>sioning | Low NPA, even after<br>controlling for operating<br>efficiency | gross NPA, ma<br>sioning             |  |
| Indian Bank   | TN: 48%                              | Corporation Bank   | KN: 37%                              |  |
| United Bank of India  | WB: 53%                              | Bank of India  | MH: 24%                              |  |
| Allahabad Bank  | UP: 32%<br>WB: 24%                   | Union Bank of India  | UP: 21%                              |  |
| Punjab & Sind Bank  | PN: 47%                              | Oriental Bank of   | UP: 25%                              |  |
|   |                                      | Commerce   | PN: 22%                              |  |
|   |                                      | Low NPA despite low<br>operating efficiency                    |                                      |  |
| Cashing and redriging see                                       |                                      | Indian Overseas Bank   | TN: 47%                              |  |
|   | versions where the level             | Syndicate Bank   | KN: 29%                              |  |
|   | a aut buyed the te                   | Andhra Bank  | AN: 77%                              |  |

Interestingly, the two banks in the first group fully explained by low operating efficiency do not display regional concentration. But the banks in the second group do, and two of them (Indian Bank; Punjab and Sind Bank) have marked branch concentration in states (Tamil Nadu and Punjab respectively) identified in the earlier paper in the cluster where NPAs were lower. Clearly the reasons for the high NPAs of these two banks cannot be blamed on exogenous environmental factors such as region of operation.

Given that all banks in this investigation were entirely government-owned until fairly recently, with to that extent a certain commonality of organisational structure, the composition of the residual bag of explanatory factors is an important area for further investigation.

| High NPA, both gross and net                | IPA, both gross and net Low NPA, both gross and net |   |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Indian Bank                                 | Corporation Bank                                    | Corporation Bank                            |  |  |
| United Bank of India                        | Oriental Bank of Comm                               | Oriental Bank of Commerce                   |  |  |
| Allahabad Bank                              | Indian Overseas Bank                                |   |  |  |
|   | Syndicate Bank                                      |   |  |  |
|   | Andhra Bank   |   |  |  |
| High gross NPA, more than mean              | Low gross NPA, less a provisioning                  | Low gross NPA, less than mean provisioning  |  |  |
| Punjab & Sind Bank                          | Bank of India                                       | n Bank                                      |  |  |
|   | Union Bank of India                                 |   |  |  |
| Mean gross NPA, less than mean provisioning | Mean gross NPA, more provisioning                   | Mean gross NPA, more than mean provisioning |  |  |
| SB Hyderabad                                | Vijaya Bank   |   |  |  |
| SB Mysore                                   | PN: 47% Oriental B                                  |   |  |  |
| SB Indore                                   | Comin ero   |   |  |  |

The box also lists banks with lower than average NPAs grouped into those explained by high operating efficiency, and those where the level of operating efficiency leaves an unexplained (negative) residual. Comparing the regional concentration of these with high-NPA banks, there is clear scope for pair-wise comparative studies of banks in the same region of operation so as to identify what may be the organisational or other bank-specific factors accounting for their very different outcomes in terms of NPAs. Punjab and Sind Bank and Oriental Bank of Commerce constitute one such pair. Indian Bank and Indian Overseas Bank constitute another.

Gross NPA is the better indicator than net NPA of the quality of the loan portfolio, since it does not incorporate the endogenous provisioning response. Of the set of four banks with high gross NPA unaccounted for by operating efficiency, Punjab and Sind Bank drops out in terms of net NPA owing to higher than mean provisioning (see Box 3). Three with less than mean provisioning, SB Hyderabad, Mysore and Indore, are in the high category only when NPAs are taken net. The fixed effects model carries better explanatory power than the random effects model, as tested by the Hausman statistic. Finally, all the results are relative to the mean for PSU banks, the pool used in this exercise, which as a group carry higher NPAs than all other ownership categories.

a of atitut LIBRARY le noit 808 Acc: No Date 2.2001 NEW DEI HI

|                           |                         | 1               |   |                          |                 |  |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Dependent variable        | %Gr.NPA/Gr.Adv          | %Gr.NPA/Gr.Adv  | Dependent variable                                | %Gr.NPA/Gr.Adv           | %Gr.NPA/Gr.Adv  |  |
| Explanatory variable      | Cap Adeq                | %Opprof/W-funds | Explanatory variable                              | Cap Adeq                 | %Opprof/W-funds |  |
| No. of obs.               | 131                     | 107             |   |                          |                 |  |
| Adj. R sq.                | 87.04                   | 89.97           | Statistically insignificant ba                    | nk intercepts            |                 |  |
| Slope coefficient         | -0.15                   | -2.40           | SBI   |                          |                 |  |
| t-valu                    | ie (-1.22)              | (-2.67)         | SB Hyderabad                                      |                          |                 |  |
| Common intercept          | 17.92                   | 20.17           | SB Travancore                                     |                          |                 |  |
| t-valu                    | ie (14.27)              | (13.98)         | SB Bikaner & Jaipur                               |                          |                 |  |
|                           |                         |                 | SB Mysore   |                          |                 |  |
| /ear intercepts           |                         |                 | SB Saurashtra                                     |                          |                 |  |
| 999-00                    | -2.74                   | -2.05           | SB Indore   |                          |                 |  |
| t-valu                    | ie (-5.32)              | (-4.45)         | Bank of Baroda                                    |                          |                 |  |
| 996-97                    | 1.96                    | 1.39            | Punjab National Bank                              |                          |                 |  |
| t-valu                    | ie (3.84)               | (2.93)          | Canara Bank                                       |                          |                 |  |
|                           |                         |                 | Dena Bank   |                          |                 |  |
|                           |                         |                 | Vijaya Bank                                       |                          |                 |  |
|                           |                         |                 | Bank of Maharashtra                               |                          |                 |  |
| Bank intercepts           |                         |                 |   |                          |                 |  |
| ligh NPA, explained by po | or operating efficience | су              | Low NPA, explained by better operating efficiency |                          |                 |  |
| Central Bank of India     | 4.09                    |                 | SB Patiala  | -4.26                    |                 |  |
|                           | (3.28)                  |                 |   | (-3.40)                  |                 |  |
| JCO Bank                  | 6.87                    |                 | Low NPA, even after controllin                    | ng for operating efficie | ncy             |  |
|                           | (5.33)                  |                 | Corporation Bank                                  | -8.36                    | -6.22           |  |
| ligh NPA, even after cont | olling for operating e  | fficiency       |   | (-6.46)                  | (-3.16)         |  |
| ndian Bank                | 19.84                   | 16.80           | Bank of India                                     | -3.97                    | -4.67           |  |
|                           | (5.94)                  | (6.89)          |   | (-3.21)                  | (-3.43)         |  |
| Jnited Bank of India      | 16.77                   | 12.63           | Union Bank of India                               | -5.06                    | -5.40           |  |
|                           | (13.06)                 | (6.71)          |   | (-4.10)                  | (-3.91)         |  |
| Allahabad Bank            | 5.72                    | 4.93            | Oriental Bank of Commerce                         | -9.47                    | -8.01           |  |
|                           | (4.62)                  | (3.63)          |   | (-6.67)                  | (-4.83)         |  |
| Puniab & Sind Bank        | 8.13                    | 6.08            | Lower NPA than warranted by                       | operating efficiency     | 0               |  |
|                           | (6.54)                  | (3.97)          | Indian Overseas Bank                              |                          | -4.59           |  |
|                           | (/                      | ,, ,            |   |                          | (-2.70)         |  |
|                           |                         |                 | Syndicate Bank                                    |                          | -4.87           |  |
|                           |                         |                 |   |                          | (-3.04)         |  |
|                           |                         |                 | Andhra Bank                                       |                          | -6.40           |  |
|                           |                         |                 |   |                          | (-4.72)         |  |
|                           |                         |                 |   |                          | ()              |  |

## Table 1

| Explanatory variable     %Opprof/W-funds     %       Year intercepts     2.05     Net interce   | Dependent variable                         |            | %Gr.NPA/Gr.Adv   | %NetNPA/NetAdv                             | Dependent variable             | %Gr.NPA/Gr.Adv    | %NetNPA/NetAdv  |
|---|--|------------|------------------|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| No. of obs.   107   107   Statistically insignificant bank intercepts     Adj. R sq.   99.97   67.71   SBI     Adj. R sq.   99.97   67.71   SBI     Iteration (-2, 267)   (-3.30)   SB Tavancore  | Explanatory variable                       | 9          | %Opprof/W-funds  | %Opprof/W-funds                            | Explanatory variable           | %Opprof/W-funds   | %Opprof/W-funds |
| Adj. R sq.   89.97   87.71   SBI   SIde coefficient   -2.40   -1.90   SB Patiala     Slope coefficient   -2.40   -1.90   SB Travancore  | No. of obs.                                |            | 107              | 107  | Statistically insignificant ba | ank intercepts    |                 |
| Stope coefficient     -2.40     -1.90     SB Patiala       t-value     (-2.67)     (-3.30)     SB Travancore       Common intercept     20.17     11.89     SB Bikaner & Jaipur       t-value     (13.98)     (12.82)     SB Saurashtra       Year intercepts     Bank of Baroda  | Adj. R sg.                                 |            | 89.97            | 87.71                                      | SBI                            |                   |                 |
| t-value     (-2.67)     (-3.30)     SB Travance & saligue     Image: SB Bikaner & Saligue       Commo intercept     20.17     11.89     SB Bikaner & Saligue     Image: SB Bikaner & Saligue       Year intercepts     Bank of Baroda     Image: SB Bikaner & Saligue     Image: Saligue & Saligue  | Slope coefficient                          |            | -2.40            | -1.90                                      | SB Patiala                     |                   |                 |
| Common intercept     20.17     11.89     SB Bikaner & Jaipur       t-value     (13.98)     (12.82)     SB Saurashtra       1999-00     -2.05     -0.82     Punjab National Bank       1999-07     1.39     0.58     Central Bank of India       1996-97     1.39     0.58     Central Bank of India       1996-97     1.39     0.58     Central Bank of India       t-value     (2.93)     -2.21     UCO Bank<br>Dena Bank     Dena Bank       Bank intercepts     Eank intercepts     Eank of Maharashtra     Eank of Bancs       High NPA, both gross and net     Corporation Bank     -6.22     -3.88       Indian Bank     16.80     10.04     Corporation Bank     -6.22     -3.88       United Bank of India     12.63     4.59     Oriental Bank of Commerce     -8.01     -2.67       United Bank of India     12.63     4.59     Oriental Bank of Commerce     -8.01     -2.67       United Bank of India     12.63     3.03     (3.08)     (-2.70)     (-3.55)       High gross NPA, more than mean rovisioning     Syndicate   |  | t-value    | (-2.67)          | (-3.30)                                    | SB Travancore                  |                   |                 |
| t-value   (13.98)   (12.82)   SB Saurashtra   Bank of Baroda     Year intercepts   Bank of Baroda   Bank of Baroda   Bank of Baroda     1999-00   -2.05   -0.82   Punjab National Bank   Image: Constant   | Common intercept                           |            | 20.17            | 11.89                                      | SB Bikaner & Jaipur            |                   |                 |
| Year interceptsBank of Baroda1999-00-2.05-0.82Punjab National Bank1996-971.390.58Central Bank of India1996-971.390.58Central Bank of Indiat-value(2.93)-2.21UCO Bank<br>Dena Bank<br>Bank of MaharashtraBank interceptsLow NPA, both gross and netLow NPA, both gross and net(-3.88)(6.89)(7.38)(-3.16)(-3.52)Unide Bank of India12.634.59Oriental Bank of Commerce-8.01-2.67(-4.83)(-2.63)(-2.63)(-10.04)(-3.16)(-3.52)Unide Bank of India12.634.59Oriental Bank of Commerce-8.01-2.67(-10.04)(-2.63)(-2.63)(-2.63)(-2.70)(-3.55)(-2.70)(-3.55)(-2.70)(-3.55)(-2.70)(-3.55)(-2.70)(-3.55)(-2.70)(-3.55)(-2.70)(-3.55)(-2.70)(-3.63)(-2.70)(-3.63)(-2.70)(-3.63)(-2.70)(-3.63)(-2.71)(-2.72)(-2.61)(-2.71)(-2.72)(-2.65Bank of India-4.67<  |  | t-value    | (13.98)          | (12.82)                                    | SB Saurashtra                  |                   |                 |
| 1999-00   -2.05   -0.82   Punjab National Bank   Image: Second Sec | Year intercepts                            |            |                  |  | Bank of Baroda                 |                   |                 |
| t-value     (-4.45)     (-3.18)     Canara Bank       1996-97     1.39     0.58     Central Bank of India       t-value     (2.93)     -2.21     UCO Bank<br>Dena Bank<br>Bank of Maharashtra       Bank intercepts       High NPA, both gross and net       Indian Bank     16.80     10.04     Corporation Bank     -6.22     -3.88       Indian Bank     16.80     10.04     Corporation Bank     -6.22     -3.88       United Bank of India     12.63     4.59     Oriental Bank of Commerce     -8.01     -2.67       Indian Overseas Bank     4.59     -3.37     -2.53     -2.70)     (-3.55)       United Bank of India     12.63     3.24     Indian Overseas Bank     -4.69     -3.37       Allahabad Bank     (3.63)     (3.28)     (-2.70)     (-3.55)     -2.66       High gross NPA, more than mean rovisioning     Syndicate Bank     -4.67     -5.26       Punjab & Sind Bank     6.08     (-3.71)     (-5.89)       (3.97)     Low gross NPA, less than mean rovisioning     -5.40     -5.00  | 1999-00                                    |            | -2.05            | -0.82                                      | Punjab National Bank           |                   |                 |
| 1996-97   1.39   0.58   Central Bank of India     t-value   (2.93)   -2.21   UCO Bank<br>Dena Bank<br>Bank of Maharashtra     Bank intercepts     High NPA, both gross and net   Low NPA, both gross and net     Indian Bank   16.80   10.04   Corporation Bank   -6.22   -3.88     United Bank of India   12.63   4.59   Oriental Bank of Commerce   -8.01   -2.67     (6.71)   (6.05)   (-4.83)   (-2.88)     Allahabad Bank   4.93   3.24   Indian Overseas Bank   -4.59   -3.37     (3.63)   (3.08)   (-2.70)   (-3.55)     High gross NPA, more than mean rovisioning   Syndicate Bank   -4.87   -5.26     Punjab & Sind Bank   6.08   (-3.04)   (-5.89)     (2.97)   Andhra Bank   -5.40   -5.00     (2.97)   Ew gross NPA, less than mean rovisioning   Sank of India   -4.67     SB Myderabad   6.08   (-3.43)   -5.40     (2.67)   (2.67)   (-3.43)   -6.61     SB Mysore   (2.71)   (-3.91)   -7.253     SB Indore  |  | t-value    | (-4.45)          | (-3.18)                                    | Canara Bank                    |                   |                 |
| t-value   (2.93)   -2.21   UCO Bank<br>Dena Bank<br>Bank of Maharashtra     Bank intercepts   -2.21   Low NPA, both gross and net<br>Indian Bank   16.80   10.04   Corporation Bank   -6.22   -3.88     Indian Bank   16.80   (7.38)   (-3.16)   (-3.52)     United Bank of India   12.63   4.59   Oriental Bank of Commerce   -8.01   -2.288)     Allahabad Bank   4.93   3.24   Indian Overseas Bank   -4.59   -3.37     (3.63)   (3.08)   (-2.70)   (-3.55)     High gross NPA, more than mean rovisioning   Syndicate Bank   -4.87   -5.26     Punjab & Sind Bank   6.08   (-2.70)   (-3.55)     (2.97)   Andhra Bank   -5.40   -5.00     (2.97)   Andhra Bank   -5.40   -5.00     (-4.72)   (-6.61)  | 1996-97                                    |            | 1.39             | 0.58                                       | Central Bank of India          |                   |                 |
| Bank intercepts   Low NPA, both gross and net   Low NPA, both gross and net     High NPA, both gross and net   Low NPA, both gross and net   -6.22   -3.88     Indian Bank   16.80   10.04   Corporation Bank   -6.22   -3.88     United Bank of India   12.63   4.59   (-3.16)   (-3.52)     United Bank of India   12.63   4.59   oriental Bank of Commerce   -8.01   -2.67     (6.71)   (6.05)   (-4.83)   (-2.88)     Allahabad Bank   4.93   3.24   Indian Overseas Bank   4.59   -3.37     High gross NPA, more than mean rovisioning   Syndicate Bank   -4.67   -5.26     Punjab & Sind Bank   6.08   -(-2.70)   (-5.89)     (3.97)   Andhra Bank   -5.40   -5.00     (2.97)   Andhra Bank of India   -5.40   -5.00     (3.97)   Low gross NPA, less than mean rovisioning   SB Bank of India   -4.67     SB Myderabad   6.08   -(-3.43)   -(-3.43)     SB Mysore   2.55   Bank of India   -5.40     (2.67)   (-3.43)   -(-3.91)   -(-3.91)  |  | t-value    | (2.93)           | -2.21                                      | UCO Bank                       |                   |                 |
| Bank of Maharashtra     Bank intercepts     High NPA, both gross and net   Low NPA, both gross and net     Indian Bank   16.80   10.04   Corporation Bank   6.22   -3.88     United Bank of India   12.63   4.59   Oriental Bank of Commerce   -8.01   -2.67     United Bank of India   12.63   4.59   Oriental Bank of Commerce   -8.01   -2.67     Allahabad Bank   4.93   3.24   Indian Overseas Bank   -4.59   -3.37     G.6.71   (6.05)   (-4.83)   (-2.88)     High gross NPA, more than mean rovisioning   Syndicate Bank   -4.59   -3.37     G.6.30   (3.08)   (-2.70)   (-5.89)     Punjab & Sind Bank   6.08   (-3.04)   (-5.89)     (.2.97)   Andhra Bank   -6.40   -5.00     (.2.97)   Andhra Bank   -6.40   -5.00     (.2.97)   Andhra Bank   -6.40   -5.00     (.2.97)   Andhra Bank of India   -4.67     SB Hyderabad   2.65   Bank of India   -5.40     (2.67)   (-2.67)   (-3.43)  |  |            | · · · ·          |  | Dena Bank                      |                   |                 |
| Bank intercepts     Low NPA, both gross and net     Low NPA, both gross and net       Indian Bank     16.80     10.04     Corporation Bank     -6.22     -3.88       Indian Bank     16.80     (7.38)     (-3.16)     (-3.52)       United Bank of India     12.63     4.59     Oriental Bank of Commerce     -8.01     -2.67       Allahabad Bank     4.93     3.24     Indian Overseas Bank     -4.59     -3.37       Allahabad Bank     4.93     3.24     Indian Overseas Bank     -4.67     -5.26       High gross NPA, more than mean rovisioning     Syndicate Bank     -4.87     -5.26     -5.26       Punjab & Sind Bank     6.08   |  |            |                  |  | Bank of Maharashtra            |                   |                 |
| High NPA, both gross and net   Low NPA, both gross and net   Indian Bank   16.80   10.04   Corporation Bank   -6.22   -3.88     Indian Bank   (6.89)   (7.38)   (-3.16)   (-3.52)     United Bank of India   12.63   4.59   Oriental Bank of Commerce   -8.01   -2.67     (6.71)   (6.05)   (-4.83)   (-2.88)     Allahabad Bank   4.93   3.24   Indian Overseas Bank   -4.59   -3.37     (3.63)   (3.08)   (-2.70)   (-3.55)   -5.26     Punjab & Sind Bank   6.08   (-2.70)   (-3.58)     (0.97)   Andhra Bank   -5.40   -5.26     Punjab & Sind Bank   6.08   (-3.04)   (-5.89)     (0.97)   Andhra Bank   -5.40   -5.00     (2.97)   Low gross NPA, less than mean rovisioning   SB Bank of India   -4.67     SB Hyderabad   2.65   Bank of India   -4.67     (2.67)   (-3.43)   -5.40   -6.61)     SB Mysore   2.57   Mean gross NPA, more than mean provisioning   -2.53     SB Indore   2.57   Mean gross NPA, more th   | Bank intercepts                            |            |                  |  |                                |                   |                 |
| Indian Bank   16.80   10.04   Corporation Bank   -6.22   -3.88     (6.89)   (7.38)   (-3.16)   (-3.52)     United Bank of India   12.63   4.59   Oriental Bank of Commerce   -8.01   -2.67     (6.71)   (6.05)   (-4.83)   (-2.88)     Allahabad Bank   4.93   3.24   Indian Overseas Bank   -4.59   -3.37     (3.63)   (3.08)   (-2.70)   (-3.55)     High gross NPA, more than mean rovisioning   Syndicate Bank   -4.87   -5.26     Punjab & Sind Bank   6.08   (-3.04)   (-5.89)     (2.97)   Andhra Bank   -5.40   -5.00     (-4.72)   (-6.61)   (-4.72)   (-6.61)     Mean gross NPA, less than mean rovisioning   Low gross NPA, less than mean provisioning   -4.67     SB Hyderabad   2.65   Bank of India   -4.67     (2.67)   (-3.91)   -5.40   -5.40     SB Mysore   2.24   Union Bank of India   -5.40     (2.71)   (-3.91)   -2.53   -2.53     SB Indore   2.57   Mean gross NPA, more than mean provisioni   | High NPA, both gros                        | s and ne   | et               |  | Low NPA, both gross and n      | net               |                 |
| (6.89)   (7.38)   (-3.16)   (-3.52)     United Bank of India   12.63   4.59   Oriental Bank of Commerce   -8.01   -2.67     (6.71)   (6.05)   (-4.83)   (-2.88)     Allahabad Bank   4.93   3.24   Indian Overseas Bank   -4.59   -3.37     (3.63)   (3.08)   (-2.70)   (-3.55)   (-3.55)     High gross NPA, more than mean rovisioning   Syndicate Bank   -4.87   -5.26     Punjab & Sind Bank   6.08   (-3.04)   (-5.89)     (3.97)   Andhra Bank   -5.40   -5.00     Mean gross NPA, less than mean rovisioning   Low gross NPA, less than mean provisioning   -6.61)     SB Hyderabad   2.65   Bank of India   -4.67     (2.67)   (2.67)   (-3.43)   -2.53     SB Mysore   2.24   Union Bank of India   -5.40     (2.71)   (-3.91)   -2.53     SB Indore   2.57   Mean gross NPA, more than mean provisioning   -2.53     (2.85)   Vijaya Bank   -2.53   -2.53   | Indian Bank                                | R.         | 16.80            | 10.04                                      | Corporation Bank               | -6.22             | -3.88           |
| United Bank of India   12.63   4.59   Oriental Bank of Commerce   -8.01   -2.67     (6.71)   (6.05)   (-4.83)   (-2.88)     Allahabad Bank   4.93   3.24   Indian Overseas Bank   -4.59   -3.37     (3.63)   (3.08)   (-2.70)   (-3.55)     High gross NPA, more than mean rovisioning   Syndicate Bank   -4.87   -5.26     Punjab & Sind Bank   6.08   (-3.04)   (-5.89)     (3.97)   Andhra Bank   -5.40   -5.00     Mean gross NPA, less than mean rovisioning   Low gross NPA, less than mean provisioning   -4.67     SB Hyderabad   2.65   Bank of India   -4.67     (2.67)   (2.67)   (-3.43)   -5.40     SB Mysore   2.24   Union Bank of India   -5.40     (2.71)   (-3.91)   -5.40   -5.40     SB Indore   2.57   Mean gross NPA, more than mean provisioning   -2.53     (2.85)   Vijaya Bank   -2.53   -2.53  |  |            | (6.89)           | (7.38)                                     |                                | (-3.16)           | (-3.52)         |
| (6.71)   (6.05)   (-4.83)   (-2.88)     Allahabad Bank   4.93   3.24   Indian Overseas Bank   -4.59   -3.37     (3.63)   (3.08)   (-2.70)   (-3.55)     High gross NPA, more than mean rovisioning   Syndicate Bank   -4.87   -5.26     Punjab & Sind Bank   6.08   (-3.04)   (-5.89)     (2.97)   Andhra Bank   -5.40   -5.00     (-4.72)   (-6.61)   (-4.72)   (-6.61)     Mean gross NPA, less than mean rovisioning   Low gross NPA, less than mean provisioning   -5.00     SB Hyderabad   2.65   Bank of India   -4.67     (2.67)   (-3.43)   -5.40     SB Mysore   2.24   Union Bank of India   -5.40     (2.71)   (-3.91)   -2.53     SB Indore   2.57   Mean gross NPA, more than mean provisioning   -2.53     (2.85)   Vijaya Bank   -2.53     (-2.91)   -2.51   -2.51   | United Bank of India                       | 1          | 12.63            | 4.59                                       | Oriental Bank of Commerce      | -8.01             | -2.67           |
| Allahabad Bank 4.93 3.24 Indian Overseas Bank -4.59 -3.37<br>(3.63) (3.08) (-2.70) (-3.55)<br>High gross NPA, more than mean rovisioning<br>Punjab & Sind Bank 6.08<br>(3.97) Andhra Bank -5.40 (-5.89)<br>(3.97) Andhra Bank -5.40 (-5.00)<br>(-4.72) (-6.61)<br>Mean gross NPA, less than mean rovisioning<br>SB Hyderabad 2.65 Bank of India -4.67<br>(2.67) (-3.43)<br>SB Mysore 2.24 Union Bank of India -5.40<br>(2.71) (-3.91)<br>SB Indore 2.57 Mean gross NPA, more than mean provisioning<br>(2.85) Vijaya Bank -2.53<br>(-2.91)  |  |            | (6.71)           | (6.05)                                     |                                | (-4.83)           | (-2.88)         |
| (3.63)   (3.08)   (-2.70)   (-3.55)     High gross NPA, more than mean rovisioning   Syndicate Bank   -4.87   -5.26     Punjab & Sind Bank   6.08   (-3.04)   (-5.89)     (3.97)   Andhra Bank   -5.40   -5.00     (-4.72)   (-6.61)   (-4.72)   (-6.61)     Mean gross NPA, less than mean rovisioning   Low gross NPA, less than mean provisioning   (-6.61)     SB Hyderabad   2.65   Bank of India   -4.67     (2.67)   (-3.43)   (-3.43)     SB Mysore   2.24   Union Bank of India   -5.40     (2.71)   (-3.91)   (-3.91)   (-3.91)     SB Indore   2.57   Mean gross NPA, more than mean provisioning   -2.53     (2.85)   Vijaya Bank   -2.53   (-2.91)   | Allahabad Bank                             | 16         | 4.93             | 3.24                                       | Indian Overseas Bank           | -4.59             | -3.37           |
| High gross NPA, more than mean rovisioning   Syndicate Bank   -4.87   -5.26     Punjab & Sind Bank   6.08   (-3.04)   (-5.89)     (3.97)   Andhra Bank   -6.40   -5.00     (-4.72)   (-6.61)     Mean gross NPA, less than mean rovisioning   Low gross NPA, less than mean provisioning     SB Hyderabad   2.65   Bank of India   -4.67     (2.67)   (-3.43)   -5.40     SB Mysore   2.24   Union Bank of India   -5.40     (2.71)   (-3.91)   -5.40     SB Indore   2.57   Mean gross NPA, more than mean provisioning   -2.53     (2.85)   Vijaya Bank   -2.53     (-2.91)   -2.53   -2.53   |  |            | (3.63)           | (3.08)                                     |                                | (-2.70)           | (-3.55)         |
| Punjab & Sind Bank   6.08   (-3.04)   (-5.89)     (3.97)   Andhra Bank   -5.40   -5.00     (-4.72)   (-6.61)     Mean gross NPA, less than mean rovisioning   Low gross NPA, less than mean provisioning     SB Hyderabad   2.65   Bank of India   -4.67     (2.67)   (-3.43)   (-3.43)     SB Mysore   2.24   Union Bank of India   -5.40     (2.71)   (-3.91)   (-3.91)     SB Indore   2.57   Mean gross NPA, more than mean provisioning   -2.53     (2.85)   Vijaya Bank   -2.53   (-2.91)   | High gross NPA, mo                         | ore than r | mean rovisioning |  | Syndicate Bank                 | -4.87             | -5.26           |
| (3.97)Andhra Bank-6.40-5.00Mean gross NPA, less than mean rovisioningLow gross NPA, less than mean provisioning(-4.72)(-6.61)Mean gross NPA, less than mean provisioning2.65Bank of India-4.67(-3.43)SB Mysore2.24Union Bank of India-5.40(-3.91)SB Indore2.57Mean gross NPA, more than mean provisioning-2.53(2.85)Vijaya Bank-2.53(-2.91)   | Punjab & Sind Bank                         |            | 6.08             |  |                                | (-3.04)           | (-5.89)         |
| Mean gross NPA, less than mean rovisioning<br>SB HyderabadLow gross NPA, less than mean provisioning<br>Bank of India(-6.61)SB Mysore2.65<br>(2.67)Bank of India-4.67<br>(-3.43)SB Mysore2.24<br>(2.71)Union Bank of India-5.40<br>(-3.91)SB Indore2.57<br>(2.85)Mean gross NPA, more than mean provisioning<br>(2.85)-2.53<br>(-2.91)  |  |            | (3.97)           |  | Andhra Bank                    | -5.40             | -5.00           |
| Mean gross NPA, less than mean rovisioning   Low gross NPA, less than mean provisioning     SB Hyderabad   2.65   Bank of India   -4.67     (2.67)   (-3.43)     SB Mysore   2.24   Union Bank of India   -5.40     (2.71)   (-3.91)     SB Indore   2.57   Mean gross NPA, more than mean provisioning     (2.85)   Vijaya Bank   -2.53     (-2.91)   (-2.91)  |  |            |                  |  |                                | (-4.72)           | (-6.61)         |
| SB Hyderabad   2.65   Bank of India   -4.67     (2.67)   (-3.43)     SB Mysore   2.24   Union Bank of India   -5.40     (2.71)   (-3.91)     SB Indore   2.57   Mean gross NPA, more than mean provisioning     (2.85)   Vijaya Bank   -2.53     (-2.91)   (-2.91)  | Mean gross NPA, less than mean rovisioning |            |                  | Low gross NPA, less than mean provisioning |                                |                   |                 |
| (2.67)   (-3.43)     SB Mysore   2.24   Union Bank of India   -5.40     (2.71)   (-3.91)     SB Indore   2.57   Mean gross NPA, more than mean provisioning     (2.85)   Vijaya Bank   -2.53     (-2.91)   (-2.91)  | SB Hyderabad                               |            |                  | 2.65                                       | Bank of India                  | -4.67             |                 |
| SB Mysore 2.24 Union Bank of India -5.40   (2.71) (-3.91)   SB Indore 2.57 Mean gross NPA, more than mean provisioning   (2.85) Vijaya Bank -2.53   (-2.91) (-2.91)   |  |            |                  | (2.67)                                     |                                | (-3.43)           |                 |
| (2.71)   (-3.91)     SB Indore   2.57     (2.85)   Mean gross NPA, more than mean provisioning     (2.85)   Vijaya Bank     -2.53     (-2.91)   | SB Mysore                                  |            |                  | 2.24                                       | Union Bank of India            | -5.40             |                 |
| SB Indore 2.57 Mean gross NPA, more than mean provisioning   (2.85) Vijaya Bank -2.53   (-2.91)   | - "  |            |                  | (2.71)                                     |                                | (-3.91)           |                 |
| (2.85) Vijaya Bank -2.53 (-2.91)  | SB Indore                                  |            |                  | 2.57                                       | Mean gross NPA, more than i    | mean provisioning |                 |
| (-2.91)   |  |            |                  | (2.85)                                     | Vijaya Bank                    |                   | -2.53           |
|   |  |            |                  |  |                                |                   | (-2.91)         |

# Table 2

3 ()

#### REFERENCES

- Demirguc-Kunt, Asli and Harry Huizinga, 1999, "Determinants of Commercial Bank Interest Margins and Profitability: Some International Evidence", <u>World Bank</u> <u>Economic Review</u> 13:2 (May); 379-408.
- Goldstein, Morris and Philip Turner, 1996, "Banking Crises in Emerging Economies: Origins and Policy Options", <u>BIS Economic Paper 46</u>, October.
- Government of India, 1991, <u>Report of the (Narasimham) Committee on the Financial</u> System.

\_\_\_\_, 1998, <u>Report of the (Narasimham) Committee on the Banking Sector</u> <u>Reforms</u>.

, 1999, <u>Report of the (M.S.Varma) Working Group on Revival of Weak</u> Public Sector Banks.

, Economic Survey, assorted issues.

- Hahm, Joon-Ho and Frederic S. Mishkin, 2000, "Causes of the Korean Financial Crisis: Lessons for Policy" <u>NBER Working Paper Series</u> 7483, January.
- Khan, Mohsin S. and Abdelhak S. Senhadji, 2001, "Financial Development and Economic Growth: An Overview", (Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund), mimeo, August.
- Rajaraman, Indira, Sumon Bhaumik and Namita Bhatia, 1999, "NPA Variations Across Indian Commercial Banks: Some Findings", <u>Economic and Political Weekly</u> XXXIV:3&4; 16-23.
- Rajaraman, Indira and Abhiroop Mukhopadhyay, 2000, "Sustainability of Public Domestic Debt in India" in D.K. Srivastava, ed. <u>Fiscal Federalism in India:</u> <u>Contemporary Challenges – Issues Before the Eleventh Finance Commission</u> (NIPFP-Har-Anand); 207-226.

Reddy, Y.V., 2000, Monetary and Financial Sector Reform in India, (Delhi: UBS).

Reserve Bank of India, Annual Report 2000-01.

, Report on Trend and Progress of Banking in India, assorted issues.

- Sarkar, Jayati, Subrata Sarkar and Sumon K. Bhaumik, 1996, "Does Ownership Always Matter? Evidence from the Indian Banking Industry", <u>Journal of Comparative</u> <u>Economics</u> 26:2 (June); 262-81.
- Williamson, John and Molly Mahar, 1998, "A Review of Financial Liberalisation" <u>South</u> <u>Asia Region Internal Discussion Paper</u>, (Washington, D.C.: The World Bank), January.