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## I. Introduction

An extraordinarily large number of studies have been made over the past three decades on the finances of municipalities in India. ${ }^{1}$ The primary message that has emerged from them is that the finances of municipalities are in shambles, and the State-municipal fiscal relations referring to the division of fiscal powers between the State and municipalities and State transfers to municipalities, arbitrary and ad-hoc. Suggestions have been made from time to time to put their finances on a sound footing, but little has happened to make any decisive impact on the financial position of the municipalities.

[^0][^1]The take-off point of this paper which also deals with the subject of municipal finances is Article 243 Y of the Constitution Seventy-Fourth Amendment 1992 that requires each State to set up a State Finance Commission to "review the financial position of the municipalities" (as also of Panchayats under Article 243-I of the Constitution Seventy-Third Amendment), and make recommendations as to the principles which should govern -
i. the distribution between the State and the municipalities of the net proceeds of taxes, duties, tolls and fees leviable by the State and the allocation between the municipalities of such proceeds;
ii. the determination of the taxes, duties, tolls and fees which may be assigned to, or appropriated by, the municipalities; and
iii. the grants-in-aid to the municipalities from the Consolidated Fund of the State.

Importantly, Article 243 Y does not limit the task of the State Finance Commissions to only setting out the principles, but extends to recommending measures for improving the financial position of municipalities, and dealing with such other matters as may be in the interest of sound finance of the municipalities.

There is, in addition, Article 243W of the Constitution Seventy-Fourth Amendment that impinges on the finances of municipalities, and consequently on the tasks of the State Finance Commissions. This Article lists out a schedule of functions and responsibilities which may be devolved on the municipalities in order to make them institutions of self-governments (Annex A). However, what functions and responsibilities should actually be assigned to municipalities in place of or in addition to what they already have is an issue that has been left for the State legislatures to decide. The task of the State Finance Commissions is then to "recommend such devolution of funds and/or financial powers as will enable the local bodies to discharge their functions and responsibilities". ${ }^{2}$

The setting up of the State Finance Commissions with tasks as wideranging as embodied in Article 243Y and the addition of Article 243W (Twelfth Schedule) to the Constitution is a watershed development and opens up a new chapter in the Statemunicipal fiscal relations. With these provisions, a stage has also been set for initiating reform measures in the functioning of the municipalities. At the minimum, it is to be expected that these provisions in the Constitution Seventy-Fourth Amendment will result in -
i. a reappraisal of the functional responsibilities of the municipalities. The key question will be : should the municipalities continue to be concerned with the Economic and Political Weekly, October 1994, Bombay.
provision of civic services such as water supply, conservancy, street lighting. preventive health, public safety and public works, or assume responsibility for larger tasks such as urban forestry, poverty alleviation, and social and economic development which have so far been within the domain of the State governments, or carry out these responsibilities concurrently with the State governments?
ii. Corresponding to (i) above, a re-examination of the State-municipal fiscal relations focusing on the (a) factors that should weigh in the assignment of taxes, duties, tolls and fees to the municipalities, (b) principles that should govern the distribution between the State and the municipalities of the proceeds of various taxes, duties, tolls and fees and their allocation between the municipalities; and (c) criteria that should determine the grants-in-aid from the State to the municipalities. ${ }^{3}$

The purpose of this paper is to initiate a debate on issues concerning the finances of municipalities in the context of the Constitution Seventy-Fourth Amendment. ${ }^{4}$ Accordingly, this paper undertakes a "review of the financial position of the municipalities", identifies the nature of issues and problems that confront them,

[^2]and then proceeds to put forward a set of propositions as these relate to the tasks of the State Finance Commissions.

The paper is based largely, though not wholly, on the finance data of 192 municipalities of various size categories, spread over in five States, namely, Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Gujarat, Maharashtra and West Bengal. While their names, population, and finance data are annexed (Annex C), a summary of the size and spatial distribution is given below:

## Table 1

| States | Number of Sampled Municipalites in Population Size of |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | T Seramol | 206,000 - 5003.009 | 100,000 \% 2000000 | <100,000 |
| Ardira Pradest | 2 | 10 | 22 | 20 |
| Assam | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 |
| Gujarat | 4 | 2 | 9 | 48 |
| Maharashtra | 4 | 10 | 3 | 16 |
| West Bengal | 0 | 4 | 9 | 8 |
| Tetal | 10 | 26 | 45 | 111 |

Although the Constitutional position in respect of the intergovernmental division of functions and powers is established and known, it is necessary to reiterate three points in advance of reviewing the financial position of the municipalities. First : the Constitution Seventy-Fourth Amendment does not endow the municipalities with
any powers and responsibilities; it merely provides a schedule of functions and responsibilities. What powers and responsibilities should be assigned to the municipalities, as stated above, continue to be within the ambit of the State legislatures and the existing practice of treating all municipal powers and functions as concurrent remains undisturbed. As Abhijit Datta has noted in one of his recent papers: "the 74th Amendment does not attempt any functional decentralisation; instead, a list of 18 functional areas under a new 12th Schedule for possible devolution has been suggested". ${ }^{5}$

The Constitution lists out the powers and responsibilities of the Centre and the States in the Seventh Schedule, referred to as the "Union List" and the "State List". There is also a "Concurrent List" but the Centre has overriding powers in all matters in the "Concurrent List". Under the existing statutes, municipalities derive their functions and responsibilities from the "State List" (List II) and the "Concurrent List" provided in the Seventh Schedule of the Indian Constitution. The general practice is to divide up the State-level functions and delegate some of them to the municipalities. Furthermore, the State governments reserve the right to operate in the same functional areas.

Secondly, the Constitution does not assign any fiscal powers to the municipalities. Once again, municipalities derive these powers from the States who

[^3]have, under the Constitution, exclusive powers of levying certain taxes including taxes on sales and purchase of goods, land revenue and agricultural income tax, taxes on vehicles and on goods and passengers, luxuries and entertainment, stamp duties, taxes on trades, professions and callings, and taxes on lands and buildings. ${ }^{6}$ Over the decades, however, municipalities have come to acquire a domain of its own (not exclusive competencies) comprising a set of functional and fiscal powers and responsibilities. Typically, the functional responsibilities include the provision of services comprising public health, water supply, conservancy and drainage, public safety, public works including road maintenance, primary education, recreational facilities, and a number of regulatory services. Fiscal domain, in the main, consists of property taxes, octroi (in selected States), a tax on vehicles, boats, and animals, advertisement taxes, entertainment taxes, and betterment levies. Municipal legislations in many. States provide for a levy of duties on the transfer of property (Assam Municipal Act, 1956) or a surcharge on the duty imposed under the Indian Stamps Act, 1899 (Andhra Pradesh Municipalities Act, 1965), a profession tax (Andhra Pradesh Municipalities Act, 1965 and Bengal Municipalities Act, 1932 as modified upto January 1966), and entertainment taxes (Kerala Local Authorities Entertainment Tax 1961). The State governments have often taken over by executive orders or by modifications of the existing legislations taxes earlier delegated to the municipalities, and reallocated the proceeds, either in part or in full, to the municipalities. Furthermore, the State governments exercise control over the tax base and rates by

[^4]laying down the minima/maxima, with the result that the degree of fiscal autonomy and flexibility enjoyed by municipalities in this respect is, at best, minimal.

Finally, transfers from the State governments to municipalities are an established practice to meet the imbalance that exists between the expenditure responsibilities as determined by the functions assigned to them, and the finances that the municipalities are able to raise. Transfers serve several other purposes also. The system of transfers, however, varies inter-regionally, and has come to be questioned on a number of grounds including on grounds of arbitrariness and ad-hocsim. ${ }^{7}$

It is in this context that we review below the finances of municipalities, beginning with an analysis of the own revenue component and following it up with an analysis of transfers to municipalities.

## II. The Finances of Municipalities: A Review

## A. Own Revenues

It is perhaps useful to begin by pointing out that the revenue importance of municipalities in the country's federal structure in terms of what they are able to raise with financial powers assigned to them is extremely low. By the criterion of revenue raised, municipalities in India are estimated to have raised in 1991-92, approximately Rs. 39.0 billion. This amount is 4.6 per cent of the revenue raised by the Centre, and

[^5]8.05 per cent of the revenues raised by the States. Further, this amount is only 0.6 per cent of the country's GDP (at factor cost). Considering the fact that the municipal areas produce over 50 per cent of the country's GDP, it is evident that the municipalities are not able to establish effective linkages with activities carried out within their own jurisdictions, and thus remain peripheral to the Indian economy. ${ }^{8}$
(

Own revenues form an important component of the total revenue receipts of municipalities in India. These account for 55 per cent of the revenue receipts in Andhra Pradesh, 70.7 per cent in Assam, 88.9 per cent in Gujarat, 90.8 per cent in Maharashtra, and a bare 31.8 per cent in West Bengal (Table 3). Over the three year period 1990-91 to 1992-93, there has been little perceptible change in the share of own revenues in the revenue structure of municipalities. A closer review of the own

[^6]revenue component shows several important features that deserve to be highlighted:

| \% Own Revenues by Size-Class of Municipalities (\%), 1991-92 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| States | Own revenues by size class (Per cent of total revenue receipts) |  |  |  |  |
|  | < 100,000 | 100,000 - 200,000 | 200,000-500.000 | $>500,000$ | All |
| Andhra Pradesh | 40.3 | 55.5 | 53.1 | 59.5 | 55.1 |
| Assam | 68.6 | 78.2 | R | - | 70.7 |
| Gujarat | 84.4 | 883 | 86.3 | 89.9 | 88.9 |
| Maharastira | 670 | 59.0 | 83.6 | 92.9 | 90.8 |
| West Bergal | 31.6 | 38.2 | 27.3 | - | 31.8 |

i. Inter-State differences in the per capita own revenues: Of the five States which have furnished data on the finances of municipalities, the per capita own revenue in 1991-92 was Rs. 737.5 in the municipalities of Maharashtra and Rs. 441.4 in Gujarat. As against the high per capita own revenues in these octroi-levying States, the per capita is estimated to be Rs. 43.5 in Andhra Pradesh, Rs. 44 in Assam, and Rs. 37.2 in West Bengal. Even after the exclusion of octroi receipts from the total revenue receipts, the per capita own revenues in the municipalities of Maharashtra are several times larger than in Andhra Pradesh and other States.

ii. Size class differences in the per capita own revenues: The differences in the per capita own revenues between municipalities of different population size classes are extremely large, with the per capita revenues of smaller municipalities being uniformly low. In Gujarat, for instance, per capita own revenues of larger municipalities are 195 per cent higher than those of smaller municipalities. In Maharashtra, the per capita own revenue differential is nearly as large as in Gujarat, placing the smaller municipalities at a disadvantage in adequately tap their revenue potentials in comparison with the larger municipalities.
iii. Intra size-class differences in the per capita own revenues: Even within the same size class, variations in the per capita own revenues are significantly
large. As would be noted from the following table, the coefficients of variations in the total own revenues of smaller municipalities in the case of municipalities of less than 100,000 population happen to be as high as 0.50 in Andhra Pradesh, 0.60 in Assam, 0.37 in Gujarat, 0.80 in Maharashtra, and 0.38 in West Bengal. Municipalities in the other size classes also display considerable variations, suggesting that the same level of taxes and tax rates can produce spatially different levels of revenues.

| Table 5 <br> tions in Own Revenues, 1991-92 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| States | Coefficients of variator |  |  |  |
|  | C 100,000 | 100,000 - 200,000 | 200,000 - 500,000 | \$ 500,000 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 0.50 | $0.45$ | 0.47 | Too few frequency |
| Assam | 0.60 | 0.03 | - | do. |
| Gujarat | 0.37 | 0.34 | 0.18 | - do. |
| Maharashtra | 0.80 | 0.40 | 0.67 | 0.52 |
| West Bengal | 0.38 | 0.51 | 0.31 | - |

There are thus three type of differences in the level of own revenues: differences between municipalities in the different States, between municipalities of different population size classes, and between municipalities of even the same
population size class. ${ }^{9}$ These differences which are nothing else but different forms of horizontal imbalances, are attributable to several factors:
i. Inter-regional or inter-State differences in the fiscal domain of municipalities (Octroi levies are one example of the difference in the fiscal domain);
ii. Differences in the tax base and tax rates, etc., of municipalities of different population size groups;
iii. Differences in the level of efficiency with which municipalities use their resource raising powers; and
iv. Uneven resource endowment resulting in different yield levels even with the application of the same tax base and tax rate.

Own revenues consist of property taxes, octroi, advertisement taxes, betterment levies, tax on vehicles, boats and animals, and a host of charges for the services, and fines and fees. Tax component, by and large, accounts for $60-80$ per cent of the total own revenues, the balance being the non-tax component. Within the tax component, property taxes in the non-octroi States and octroi in the octroi levying States are the foremost taxes, yielding anywhere between 50-60 per cent of the own revenues. The

[^7]total yield from property taxes is uniformly low. In 1991-92, the per capita yield from property taxes was Rs. 55.4 in the municipalities of Andhra Pradesh, Rs. 68.7 in Gujarat, Rs.117.3 in Maharashtra, and Rs.24.4 in West Bengal. The low level of yields from property taxes is hardly reflective of the dramatic escalation that has occurred over the past two decades in the real estate prices in the country. Property tax system has, however, remained unchanged, and continues to suffer from the widely known problems of a narrow base (exemption of a large number of properties from payment of property taxes) ${ }^{10}$, persistent undervaluation, high tax rates (ranging between 20-40 per cent of the rental values), and poor enforcement.

| States | Table 6 <br> Yields from Property Taxes |  |  |  |  | / |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Share of property taxes in own reventes\%\% |  |  | Per capita propenty taxes ( $R$ s) |  |  |
|  | 1990.91 | 1991.92 | 199723 | 1990.91 | 1991.92 | 1992993 |
| Andiray radesh | 68.4 | 69.7. | 69.2. | $47 \%$ | 534. | 62.0 |
| Assam | 37:8. | 378 | 36.3 | 16\%\% | 16.6 | 15.9 |
| Gujarat/a/(All) | 14.7 | 13.6 | 14.3 | 56.1. | 68.7 | 68.3* |
| \#月』 | 11.1 | 10.7. | 117 | 192. | 21.3 | 26.0 |
| \# | $13 \%$ | 12.4 | 102 | 3088 | 33.1 | 31.7 |
| c | 11.6 | 15.9 | 12.0 | 352 | 43.1 | 42.7 |
| Mahatashira/ (All) | 16.4 | 12.5 | 16.2 | $1103 \%$ | 17\%3. | 125.7 |
| A | 13.2 | 154 | 13.0 | 349 | 38.0 | 47.7 |
| B | 15.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 43\% | 46.4 | 33.8 |
| / 4 C | 90. | 65.6 | 99 | 32\%.2. | 43.6 | 43.5 |
| West bergat | 68.8 |  | \% 64.4 | 223 | 244. | 29.2 |

*. A refers to Mumicipalites of less of 100,000 population, $\mathbf{B}$ refers to Municipalites with population of 100,000 to 200, 000 : and C refers to Municipalities with population of 200,000 - 500,000 .

10 Suggestions have been made to introduce some form of presumptive taxes for such properties.

The share of other taxes in the total own revenues is insignificant, even though, prima facie, taxes such as advertisement and betterment levies may possess large potentials. Moreover, the structure of many of these taxes is overly cumbersome, and their continued relevance in the 1990s highly questionable. For instance, most municipalities in India have traditionally levied a tax on animals, boats and vessels, and vehicles which, notwithstanding the negligible yields, carries a complicated tax structure, involving different tax rates on four-wheeled vehicles, two-wheeled vehicles, carts driven by horses, carts driven by other animals, rickshaws, hand carts - separate tax rates for elephants, camels, horses/mules, bullocks, pigs, donkeys, goats, and other animals.

The non-tax component is perhaps the most disappointing feature of the revenues structure of municipalities having been grossly underutilised for augmentation of their own revenue base. It accounts in the aggregate for 28 per cent of the own revenue resources of the municipalities, indicating that the municipalities have neither been able to effectively put into use the concept of "users charges" nor adjust the various types of license fees, fines, etc. in line with the prevailing market rates. The share of non-tax sources has remained unchanged over the period 1990-91 to 1992-93; even in per capita terms, the change over the three-year period is insignificant (from Rs. 86.34 to Rs.90.53) except in Gujarat where the income from this source has risen from Rs. 77.9 to Rs.97.1.

The central point with respect to own revenues is that these are fragmentary and markedly inadequate for meeting their total expenditure responsibilities. Municipalities in Andhra Pradesh, for instance, are able to meet out 53 per cent of their total revenue expenditure from their own revenues; the percentages in Assam and West Bengal are 79.6 and 29.9 respectively. The municipalities in Gujarat and Maharashtra are, however, differently placed as, in the aggregate, they are able to not bnly meet the total expenditure from their own revenues but also post small surpluses. The surpluses on revenue account, it needs to be noted, are a feature of only the larger municipalities and corporations, i.e., those which have populations of over 500,000 . Municipalities in other size categories continue to be plagued by deficits, confirming the widespread prevalence of the problem of vertical fiscal imbalances.

|  | Table 7 <br> Expenditure Financing by Our Revenues (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| States | Per cent of expendifure financed by own revenioes in municipalities of different population size groups |  |  |  |  |
|  | > 5000000 | 200,000-500,000 | 100,000 . 2000,000 | \% 100,000 | Total |
| Andira Pradesh | 54.5 | 53.1 | 54.1 | 478 | 53.5 |
| Assam | - | - | 86.2 | 775 | 79.6 |
| Gujarat | 120.4 | 87.5 | 1051 | 863 | 112.2 |
| Maharashitra | 1013 | 74.9 | 63.2 | 67.1 | 98.5 |
| West Bengal | - | 30.7 | 31.7 | 24.4 | 29.9 |

The problem of vertical imbalance caused by expenditure needs being greater than the revenue means is widespread among municipalities. Although this problem
persists even at other governmental levels, its consequences in terms of the degree of dependence of municipalities for meeting their revenue expenditure responsibilities are severe.

## B. Transfers

In India as elsewhere, transfers from the higher levels of governments are meant to bridge the imbalance or the gap between own resources and expenditure responsibilities. Only by accident do the revenue means equal the expenditure needs, and therefore, the imbalance and consequently, the intergovernmental transfers are integral features of all governmental systems. Meeting the imbalance is thus one of the primary purposes of transfers, other purposes being : ensuring minimum standards of public services and fulfilling the redistributive function. While these objectives are widely recognised, the issue is how to develop a non-distortionary system of transfers in order that it can meet the legitimate needs of municipal governments, ensuring simultaneously that it strengthens the tax collection efforts of the municipalities.

There are no reliable estimates in India on the volume of transfers that are made by the State governments to the municipalities. Crudely, these are placed at about 3.5-3.8 per cent of the States' own revenues. In the aggregate, State transfers form about 35-36 per cent of the total revenue receipts of the municipalities. However, as in the case of own revenues, the relative importance of transfers in the States that constitute the sample varies as may be seen in the following table:

|  | Table 8 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Transfers to Municipalities (\%) |  |  |
|  | Share of transfers in total revenues |  |  |
|  | $1990-91$ | $1991-92$ | $1992-93$ |
| States | 46.8 | 45.0 | 44.5 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 27.1 | 29.3 | 28.4 |
| Assam | 12.8 | 11.1 | 10.6 |
| Gujarat | 7.0 | 9.2 | 7.9 |
| Maharashtra | 70.4 | 68.2 | 61.0 |
| West Bengal |  |  |  |

Transfers are central to the survival of municipalities in West Bengal, and, to an extent, these are important in Andhra Pradesh where about 45 per cent of the total expenditure responsibilities are met by transfers. The importance of transfers evidently is low in Gujarat and Maharashtra where, as shown earlier, in the aggregate, own revenues are able to meet out a greater part of the expenditure responsibilities.

Transfers take the form of shared taxes, duties and grants-in-aid. The form of dominant transfers differs from State to State. In Andhra Pradesh, the dominant form happens to be the shared taxes; in West Bengal, the shared taxes and grants-in-aid are both crucial to the revenue receipts of municipalities.

Shared taxes are an important form of transfers from the State governments. The taxes that are shared include : entertainment taxes, profession taxes, surcharge on stamp duty (Andhra Pradesh), entertainment taxes, tax on trades, professions and
callings, motor vehicle tax and entry tax (West Bengal), entertainment tax, motor vehicle tax, and land revenues (Assam), and stamp duty, entertainment tax, assignment of land revenues and non-agricultural assessment of land revenues, and royalty on mining and minerals (Maharashtra). The position of shared taxes, grants-in-aid, and other forms of transfers is shown in the following table:

|  | Table 9 <br> Components of State Transfers, 1991.92 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Percentage of total revenue receipts |  |  |  |
|  | Shared taxes | Grants-in-aid | Other receipts | Total |
| Andhra Pradesh | 30.2 | 11.2 | 3.6 | 45.0 |
| Assam | 6.9 | 7.8 | 14.6 | 293 |
| Gujarat | - | -.... | - | 1111 |
| Malarashtra | 0.5 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 9.2 |
| West Bengal | 28.7 | 27.7 | 11.9 | 68.2 |

In Andhra Pradesh, profession tax is collected by the State government and 80 per cent of its proceeds returned to the local bodies on the basis of a predetermined criteria. Similarly, 90 per cent of the proceeds of the entertainment taxes are returned to the municipalities, after retaining 10 per cent of the proceeds for the promotion of arts and film industry, and deduction towards the collection charges. A part of the proceeds of motor vehicle taxes is given to the municipalities almost on an ad-hoc basis.

The overall treatment of "shared taxes" places them into three broad categories, namely:
i. Collection of taxes and redistribution of yields to the municipalities on the basis of pre-determined formulae. The underlying purpose is equity where some of the municipalities who are disadvantaged in the matter of a particular tax yield, receive a share to offset the disadvantage;
ii. Collection of taxes by the State and reallocation of yield on the basis of origin of the tax; and
iii. Collection of taxes and reallocation of a part of the yield, primarily to meet out the fiscal gap.

The system of giving grants-in-aid to municipalities has evolved out of necessity. In fact, the principle that grants-in-aid should form an important source of revenue of municipalities has been accepted all over the world. It is estimated that in the USA, grants-in-aid constitute about 40 per cent of the total revenue receipts of local authorities.

In India, grants-in-aid (as distinct from the shared tax component) form in the total about 22 per cent of the total municipal revenue receipts. These accrue in different forms - general purpose and untied, simply as an amount to enable the
municipalities to carry out their obligatory and discretionary functions. and to meet the specific expenditure obligations. Grants form 14.8 per cent of the total revenue receipts in the municipalities of Andhra Pradesh. 22.4 per cent in Assam. 8.8 per cent in Maharashtra and 39.6 per cent in West Bengal. There are three features of the grants-in-aid that needs to be noted.
i. per capita grants-in-aid to small-sized municipalities is generally higher than that extended to larger-sized municipalities. This would tend to imply that the design of the grants-in-aid is guided in the case of the sampled States by a system that has tended to reduce (if not equalise) the size class differences in total per capita revenues;

|  | er Capita | Grants-in-Aid | Size Class, 19 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| States | Per capita grants in-atd by size class |  |  |  |  |
|  | < 100,000 | 1090900 200,000 | 200,000-500,000 | > 500,000 | Fotal |
| Ardhra Pradesh | 26.5 | 18.6 | 15.0 | 118 | 16.1 |
| Assam | 55 | 2.5 | - | - | 4.8 |
| Maharashtra | 126.3 | 133.5 | 64.2 | 26.1 | 39.6 |
| West Berngal | 49.1 | 24.2 | 34.6 | - | 32.4 |
| Note: Excluding other receipts). |  |  |  |  |  |

ii. the number of accounts/heads on which grants-in-aid is made is extremely large.

In Maharashtra where grants-in-aid form only 9 per cent of the total revenue receipts and are far less important. there are seven heads under which the State government makes the grants. These include grants made in lieu of pilgrim tax. primary education grants. secondary education grant. grant for water supply and drainage. grant in lieu of motor vehicles, and D.A. grants. In Andhra Pradesh, grants are made in the form of DA compensation, property tax compensation, octroi tax compensation, road grants, and a per capita grant. This multiplicity of system involving grants which are general purpose and others that are specific, ultimately tends to be cumbersome, and are used routinely.
iii. States use complex system of grants employing factors such as population, fiscal gap, level of public services, etc., in deciding upon the volume of grants for different municipalities.

The above review of the finances of municipalities brings out a number of issues and problems:
i. Overall stagnancy in the level of own revenue resources. Own revenues have shown little buoyancy over the years. What is important is that the position with respect to the per capita own revenues in smaller municipalities even in the comparatively better-off States of Gujarat and Maharashtra are no different
than those observed in other States.
ii. Ineffective use of the non-tax based sources of revenues. As shown above, revenues from this category constitute $10-30$ per cent of the total own revenues, meaning that the charges, fees and fines do not reflect the costs that the municipalities incur in providing the various services. In many cases, the fines and charges are notional with the result that activities in violation of the norms proliferate within the municipal limits.
iii. A complicated and obsolete tax structure at the level of municipalities. There exists far too obsolete taxes and taxes that suffer from obsolescence and highly complicated tax structures, as shown in the case of tax on vehicles, boats and animals.
iv. Horizontal imbalance, i.e., the gap in the level of resources raised by municipalities of different population size classes and even within the same population size. It is important to note that assignment of taxes to municipalities does not and need not necessarily yield the same level of revenues or the same level of services.
v. Vertical imbalance, i.e., the imbalance or the gap between what the municipalities need to meet out their expenditure responsibilities and what they are able to raise on their own. This problem will be severe as the Twelfth

Schedule envisages additional functions to be devolved on the municipalities.
vi. Absence of a coherent policy on transfers. As pointed out above. transfers are made with several purposes in view, with the result that it is not possible to come to grips with what objectives are being fulfilled. Also, transfers are made on far too many counts. increasing the overall cost of managing those transfers and undermining the accountability. Moreover, although transfers have reduced the size class differences in the per capita revenues, these have not led to any equalisation in the level of services.

It is in this set of general scenario that we lay out a few propositions as these relate to the tasks of the State Finance Commissions.

## III. The Issues and Propositions

The task of the State Finance Commissions (Article 243Y) as stated earlier in the paper, is to "review the financial position of the municipalities", and make recommendations as to the principles in respect of the -
i. taxes, duties, tolls and fees that should be assigned to or devolved on the municipalities;
ii. taxes. duties. tolls and fees that should be shared between the State and municipalities and between municipalities: and
iii. grants-in-aid that should be provided to the municipalities.

In setting out the principles, the State Finance Commissions are to take note of, or to use a stronger interpretation of the Amendment, guided by Article 243W which lists out a schedule of functions and responsibilities for likely assignment to the municipalities. Article 243 W implies that the functions and responsibilities constituting the schedule are legitimate "municipal functions" and their efficient performance is essential to transform the municipalities into what the Amendment calls, "institutions of self-government". Logically, it would mean that the State Finance Commissions should establish norms for various functions and services, assign prices and quantify expenditure functions by function, and then determine what revenues ought to be assigned and by what method.

The overarching issue in this regard relates to the assignment of functions and assignment of taxes. ${ }^{11}$ Conceptually, it is possible to argue that the services whose benefits are localised and for which there is a differential scale of preferences, should normally be assigned to and performed by the local (municipal) governments. Conversely, public services which provide benefits uniformly to all and which benefits

[^8]from scale economies should normally rest with the higher levels of governments.: Furthermore, public services whose benefits spillover to jurisdictions other than those where these are actually provided. should be the responsibility of the higher levels of governments or subsidised to the extent of the estimated value of the spillover benefits.

In actual practice, however, the division of functions berween the municipal and higher levels of governments is rarely as neat or airtight as indicated above: in fact, there exists circumstances under which the higher levels of governments are required to perform certain functions even though municipalities may carry them out at lower costs. Governments at different levels may be involved in the same activities. Joint occupancy of functions, it has been widely demonstrated worldwide, is the rule rather than the exception. In the sampled States for this study, for instance, there are a large number of activities which, prima facie, appear to be local but are performed by the State governments. Often, they account for significantly large outlays as may be seen in the following table (Table 11). The table below gives the State governments's involvement in activities that are prima facie local. It also shows the percentage of expenditure incurred on them.

[^9]| Table 11. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Categry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Atulir Prilesh |  | Mstank |  | Cufark |  | Mahrarshtra |  | West Bengal |  |
|  | 1590．91／ | IMY：．93］ | 1590品 | \％sigy䊽 | WH2\％䚴 |  | 1980．91 | $1991-92$ | 1990.91 | 1991－92 |
| Gerimal educution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary edicalion | 9．1． | 8．92 | 10．09 |  | \N\％ |  | \％ | 8.85 | 10.42 | 9.46 |
| Medlat ami publie leallif |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UIGail hilih serrics Allopathy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sctiol healih stheme | 0.01 | 0.01 | 001 | 0011 |  | \％ | 000\％ | 0.00 |  |  |
| Public heallh | 100 | 100 | OK1 | 0．38 | M00 | － | \％ | 153 | 1.05 | 0.85 |
| Prevention and colltrol of disenstas | 0．82 | 0.88 | 0\％\％ | － |  | 0．．0\％ | 0．58 | 0.13 | 0.76 | 0.63 |
|  | 0.02 | 0.02 | 003 | 004 | 300 | 000 | 001 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Family wellare | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | \＃\＃8 | 0．9 | 007 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.08 |
|  | 0.00 | 0000 | 0000 | 0：30 | 10\％\％ | 004 | 000\％ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 036 | $\stackrel{\text { as }}{ }$ | 等， | 2 | 药 | \＃4： | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.68 | 0.76 |
| urtan watat supply | 00．4 | 0.05 | \＃0\％ | ¢0\％ | Oas： | 0in\％ |  |  | 0.05 | 0.02 |
| Sewerage and sanilation（ithor till） | 0.20 | 027 | 0 OH | 000 | 003 | 0006 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sanilation senvices | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | \％．0\％ | 0\％ | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Seherage setrices | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0．00 | 0000 | 0.01 | 003 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Assistance it local bodies（muncipalilies） | 000 | 0.00 | 000 | \％ヵ\％ | \％0\％ | 000 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |


| Urian development | 0.57 | 057 | 003 | 0.13 | 070 | 0,30 | 0.65 | 0.72 | 2.78 | 2.38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oifier urbain develomment schemes | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00. | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.16 | 0.76 |
| Geileral | 0.53 | 0.54. | 004\% | 00\% | ¢1\% | 031. | 0.62 | 0.69 | 0.36 | 0.13 |
| Assiuntes io local bodies (mmicipalites) | 0.31 | 0.31. | 0.01 | 0 OH |  |  | 0.56 | 0.53 | 0.23 | 0.06 |
| Labout and employmin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assitarice to urban poor | 0.00 | 000. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rurd and lringes.ans. |  |  |  | \%" | \% |  | \% | , |  |  |
| National higitinyo ithan lims | $\stackrel{000}{ }$ | 0.00. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Echlogy unl enviomment | 002\% | 909 | 0.01 | 0, \% |  |  | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |  |
| Ohme semerril enmemicsetvices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Linid afling (ollier than meticullital and) | 0,00\% | 002 | ¢0\% | 007 | 0\%\% | 00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| Compensition e assigimems io local bocies | 0,29. | 1.04 | 9\%\% | 04\% | \%\%/ | 0.43. | 0.39 | 033 | 2.75 | 2.76 |
| Land tiverue | \% |  |  | 011. | OH. | 014 | 0.04 | 0.03 |  |  |
| Giltrinmeril int. | 0.00 | 0.3. | 0.\%\%年 |  |  |  | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0,33 | 0.34 |
| Terninil tax |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.30 | 2.27 |
| Tision velirles | 001 | 0.01\% | O\% | ¢\%\%. | Oin\% | 0.0\% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.08 |
| Eniry lax | 0.02 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prorestion lax | 0.20 | 0.42 |  |  | \%\#\#. | 0.38 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  |  |
| Oflicr | 006 | 0.05 | 0:00\% | 0,00\% | 000. | 0.03 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.02 | 0.07 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE | 1413 | 15,832 | 1410 | ${ }^{20 \% 80}$ | H00, | 169. | 13.11 | 13.39 | 23,06 | 20.66 |

The issue of tax assignment is closely connected with that of the assignment of functions. ${ }^{15}$ Here too. it is possible to contend that taxes which are leviable on immobile tax bases and whose burden is not exportable to other jurisdictions should be assigned to the municipal governments. ${ }^{\text {It }}$ Higher levels of governments, on the other hand, should use taxes on relatively mobile factors of production. tax bases which are unevenly distributed over space, and cyclically sensitive tax bases. For a number of reasons, in actual practice, many taxes even if they satisfy the above norm may stay with the higher levels of governments, or collected by them for redistribution to municipalities. In certain cases, municipalities may be permitted piggybacking on State levels taxes.

A third issue relates to the gap that may arise between the expenditure responsibilities and the assignment of taxes. That a gap is a common phenomenon is widely accepted. It is said to arise for three reasons: (i) inappropriate assignment of taxing and spending responsibilities such that the expenditure needs of municipalities exceed their revenue means; (ii) it is considered efficient for the States to collect tax revenues and turn them over to municipalities to avoid tax competition; and (iii) State governments may simply want to have greater fiscal flexibility for satisfying their own

[^10]objectives.

Meeting the gap is one of the foremost objectives of intergovernmental transfers. However. transfers which in actual practice take various forms have come to serve other objectives. e.g.. (a) enable the municipalities to provide a minimum level of services, and (b) encourage the municipalities to maximize their tax raising efforts. An essential condition of the transfer system is that it should not distort the local priorities. These propositions lay the groundwork for addressing issues relating to the assignment of responsibilities and taxes and intergovernmental transfers.

None of these issues are easy to deal with in a system that has been described as a victim of politics, history and tradition. Articles 243 Y and 243 W of the Constitution Seventy-Fourth Amendment offer an opportunity to agree, on the one hand, on a minimum programme of functional and fiscal decentralisation, and, on the other hand, reforms of the existing municipal tax system which is saddled with cumbersome and obsolete procedures and systems.

[^11]
## Definition of Classes A, B, C and D

| Class | Population |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| A - Class | Less than 100,000 |
| B - Class | 100,000 to 200,000 |
| C - Class | 200,000 to 500,000 |
| D - Class | More than 500,000 |

ANNEXURE - A

## ANNEXURE - A

## THE CONSTITUTION SEVENTY-FOURTH AMENDMENT ACT 1992

## Powers, Authority and Responsibilities of Municipalities

Subject to the provisions of the constitution, the Legislature of the State may by law, endow -
(a) The municipalities with such powers and authority as may be necessary to enable them to function as institutions of local self-government and such law may contain provisions of the devolution of powers and responsíbilities upon Municipalities, subject to such conditions as may be specified therein, with respect to -
(i) the preparation of plans for economic development and social justice;
(ii) the performance of functions and the implementation of schemes as may be entrusted to them including those in relation to the matter listed in the Twelfth Schedule;
(b) The Committees with such powers and authority as may be necessary to enable them to carry out the responsibilities conferred upon them including those in relation to the matters listed in the Twelfth Schedule.

## TWELFTH SCHEDULE (Article 243W)

1. Urban planning including town planning.
2. Regulation of land-use and construction of buildings.
3. Planning for social and economic development.
4. Roads and bridges.
5. Water supply for domestic, industrial and commercial purposes.
6. Public health, sanitation, conservancy and solid waste management.
7. Fire services.
8. Urban forestry, protection of the environment and promotion of ecological aspects.
9. Safeguarding the interests of the weaker sections of society, including the handicapped and mentally retarded.
10. Slum improvement and upgradation.
11. Urban poverty alleviation.
12. Provisions of urban amenities and facilities such as parks, gardens, playgrounds.
13. Promotion of cultural, educational and aesthetic aspects.
14. Burial and burial grounds; cremations, cremation grounds and electric crematoriums.
15. Cattle pounds; prevention of cruelty to animals.
16. Vital statistics including registration of births and deaths.
17. Public amenities including street lighting, parking lots, bus stops and public conveniences.
18. Regulation of slaughter houses and tanneries.

ANNEXURE - B

## ANNEXURE - B

## DUTIES, FUNCTIONS AND TAX POWERS OF MUNICIPALITIES IN ANDHRA PRADESH AS PROVIDED BY RESPECTIVE MUNICIPAL ACTS (Andhra Pradesh Municipalities Act, 1965)

## Functions of Municipalities in Andhra Pradesh

(the municipal act does not make any functions obligatory)

1. Lighting of the streets;
2. Water supply and public drainage system including public latrines and urinals etc.;
3. Removal of rubbish, filth and various substances from public places, preparation of compost out of filth and rubbish;
4. Maintenance and watering of streets, removal of obstruction and encroachments on the public streets and places;
5. Cleaning of debris and maintenance of municipal buildings;
6. Naming of streets and numbering of buildings and premises;
7. Removal of dangerous and nuisance structures, trees from public places;
8. Extinction of fires;
9. Maintaining of slaughter houses, milk trade, markets, butchers etc.;
10. Disposal of the dead;
11. Registration of births and deaths;
12. Action in case of contagious disease with appropriate medical relief measures;
13. Vaccination and Inoculation;
14. School hygiene and mosquito control; and
15. Maintaining public hospitals, dispensaries and anti-disease relief measures.

## Tax Powers of Municipalities in Andhra Pradesh

1. A general property tax
2. A Water and drainage tax
3. A lighting tax;
4. A scavenging tax;
5. Profession tax;
6. Tax on carriages and carts;
7. A tax on Animals;
8. A tax on advertisements; and
9. Duty on transfer of property.

Major Heads of Effective Taxes, Shared Revenues and Grants in Andhra Pradesh
Own Sources of Revenue
Taxes:
Property Tax
Advertisement Tax
Carriage and Carts
Tax on animals
Non-Tax Sources:Water ChargesCharges from markets
Rents from shops and rooms
Trade licence fees
Building licence fees
Encroachment fees
D \& O Trades
Shared Revenue
Entertainment Tax
Profession Tax
Surcharge on Stamp Duty
Grants-in-Aid
$50 \%$ D.A. Grant
Per Capita Grant
Property Tax Compensation
Octroi Tax Compensation
Road Grant
M.V. Compensation
Grant for repayment of principle to LIC

## DUTIES, FUNCTIONS AND TAX POWERS OF MUNICIPALITIES IN ASSAM AS PROVIDED BY RESPECTIVE MUNICIPAL ACTS

## (Assam municipal Act, 1956; Modified 1968)

## Obligatory Functions of Municipalities in Assam

1. Removal of sewerage and rubbish from all public places, undertaking of public health, work for eradication of mosquitoes;
2. Vaccination and inoculation;
3. Registration of births and deaths;
4. In the event of prevalence of an infectious disease provision of medicines, appliances etc.; and
5. Provision of sufficient supply of drinking water.

## Discretionary Function of Municipalities in Assam

1. The provision and maintenance of public latrines and urinals;
2. Undertaking of compost making schemes;
3. Provision of fire extinguishing services;
4. Provision and maintenance of burial and burning grounds;
5. Establishment and maintenance of dispensaries, hospitals, maternity houses and child welfare centres etc.; and
6. Provision and maintenance of sufficient and satisfactory system of public drains.

## Tax Powers of Municipalities in Assam

(no distinction is made between obligatory and discretionary functions as such all taxes are discretionary in nature)

1. A tax on land and building;
2. A water tax, lighting tax, a latrine tax and drainage tax assessed on annual rental value of property;
3. Tax on private markets;
4. Toll on bridges;
5. Betterment fee on holdings;
6. Duty on transfer of property; and
7. Any other tax which the State Government in empowered to levy.

## Major Heads of Effective Taxes, Shared Revenues and Grants in Assam

Own Sources of Revenue

Taxes
Property Tax
Conservancy
Tax on public markets
Betterment levies
Profession Tax
Advertisement Tax
Drainage Tax (incl. in prop. tax)
Tolls on ferries and bridges

Water Tax (incl. in prop. tax)
Latrine Tax (incl. in prop. tax)
Light Tax (incl. in prop. tax)
Trade and calling
Tax on animals
Duties on transfer of property
Non-Tax Sources
Rents
Fees on carts, carriages, and animals
Fees on dogs
Ghat fees
Licence fees
Tolls on municipal markets
Rents on municipal buildings and markets
Betterment fee on holding in any area
Maintenance of fire brigades
Shared Revenue
Entertainment Tax
Motor Vehicles Tax
Land Revenue
Grants-in-AidPopulation cum area
Grant for holding municipal elections
Clearance of hullas
Cash allowance to sweepers
Ad hoc G.P.
P.W.D. Roadside Drain
Communication Grant

## DUTIES, FUNCTIONS AND TAX POWERS OF MUNICIPALITIES IN GUJARAT AS PROVIIED BY RESPECTIVE MUNICIPAL ACTS <br> (Gujarat Municipalities Act, 1963)

## Obligatory Functions of Municipalities in Gujarat

1. Education: to establish and maintain pre-primary schools such as balwadies, balmandirs, primary schools etc., the introduction and promotion of the state policy of compulsory free education.
2. Public Health and Sanitation: water supply and drainage, sanitation, conservancy, vaccination, the control of epidemics and regulation of offensive and dangerous trades, watering and cleaning of public streets and other places, disposal of night soil etc.
3. Medical Relief: to establish and maintain or aid public hospitals, maternity and child welfare centres etc., public medical relief and special medical aid and accommodation for sick, in times of dangerous disease.
4. Town Planning, Development and Public Works: Construction and maintenance of roads, markets, slaughter houses etc., improving agriculture including crop protection etc., accommodation of ca:ile or buffaloes, preparation of Master Plan and town planning schemes and their implementation.
5. General Administration: lighting public streets, places and buildings, protecting life and property from fire, removing obstructions in public streets and places, erecting boundary marks, registering births, marriages etc.

## Discretionary Functions of Municipalities in Gujarat

1. Education: provide for Pic:grounds, theatres, libraries, reading rooms, social education etc.
2. Public Health and Sanitatior: Provide for disposal of sewerage, setting up of dairies.
3. Public Works: Make provisions for parks, gardens, lunatic asylums, dharamshallas, supply of electric energy, transport, housing etc.
4. Agriculture and Cooperation: reclamation of waste land, construction of warehouses etc.

## Agency Functions of Municipalities in Gujarat

(these functions may be transferred by the State Government)

1. Collection of land revenue;
2. Functions and duties relating to Government under any enactment, which the State legislature is competent to enact or otherwise in the executive power of the state and appear to relate to matters arising within a municipal jurisdiction and to be of an
administrative nature;
3. Developmental functions which are performed by the following departments, viz., Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Public Health and Medical Relief, Public Works Department, Social welfare, Revenue, Prohibition, Co-Operatives, Cottage Industries, and district Statistical Office.

## Tax Powers of Municipalities in Gujarat

The Gujarat Municipalities Act does not make any tax obligatory and thus all of the following taxes are discretionary.

1. A tax on land and building;
2. A tax on vehicles, boats and animals;
3. A toll on vehicles and animals not covered under 2;
4. An octroi;
5. A tax on dogs;
6. A general sanitary cess;
7. A drainage tax;
8. A general water rate or special water rates;
9. A lighting tax;
10. A fee on pilgrims;
11. A special education cess;
12. A special education cess;
13. A tax on sale of cattle;
14. A betterment levy; and
15. Any other tax that the State Government is empowered to levy.

# DUTIES, FUNCTIONS AND TAX POWERS OF MUNICIPALITIES IN MAHARASTRA AS PROVIDED BY RESPECTIVE MUNICIPAL ACTS (Maharastra Municipalities Act, 1965) 

## Obligatory Functions of the Municipalities in Maharastra

1. Lighting public streets, places and buildings;
2. Watering public streets and places;
3. Cleansing public streets, places and sewers etc., removing noxious vegetation and abating all public nuisances;
4. Extinguishing fires and protecting the life and property when fire occurs;
5. Regulating or abating offensive or dangerous trades or practices;
6. Removing obstructions and projections on public streets or places etc.;
7. Securing or removing dangerous buildings or places, and reclaiming unhealthy localities;
8. Acquiring and maintaining, changing and regulating places for the disposal of the dead;
9. Constructing, altering and maintaining public streets, culverts, municipal boundary marks, markets, slaughter houses, privies, urinals, latrines, drains, etc.;
10. Obtaining a supply or additional supply of water etc.;
11. Naming Streets and numbering of premises;
12. Registering births and deaths;
13. Public vaccination;
14. Suitable accommodation for calves, cows or buffaloes etc.;
15. Establishing and maintaining public hospitals and dispensaries and providing public medical relief;
16. Establishing and maintaining primary schools;
17. Disposal of night soil and rubbish and if so required by the government, preparation of compost manure from such night soil and rubbish;
18. Construction and maintaining residential quarters for the conservancy staff of municipality;
19. Provide special medical relief aid and accommodation fro the sick in time of dangerous disease etc.; and
20. Give relief in times of famine or scarcity to the destitute etc.

## Discretionary Functions of Municipalities in Maharastra

1. Laying out new public streets;
2. Construction of public parks, libraries, gardens, lunatic asylums etc.;
3. Planting roadside trees;
4. Taking census and making surveys;
5. Distraction of stray dogs etc.

## Tax Powers of Municipalities in Maharastra

Compulsory Taxes: Subject to any general or special orders which the State Government may make in this behalf, the Council shall impose, for the purpose of this act, the taxes listed below:-

1. A consolidated property tax on land and building;
2. An octroi;
3. A tax on professions, trades, callings and employment;
4. A theatre tax;
5. A tax on advertisements other than advertisements published in the newspapers.

Voluntary Taxes: The municipality may impose any of the following taxes implying that they are discretionary.

1. A tax on vehicles and animals;
2. A toll on vehicles;
3. A tax on dogs;
4. A special sanitary tax;
5. A drainage tax;
6. A special water tax;
7. A tax on pilgrims;
8. A special educational tax; and
9. A lighting tax.

## Major Heads of Effective Taxes, Shared Revenues and Grants in Maharastra

## Sources of Own Revenue

Taxes
Building Tax/Property Tax
Tax on animals and vehicles
Octroi
Tax on animals
Theatre tax
Advertisement tax

| Compulsory Taxes |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Property tax | Dehicles other than M.V. |
| Octroi | Tax on animals (dogs) |
| Entertainment tax | Sanitary tax |
| Advertisement tax | Drainage tax |
|  | Water tax |

## Shared Revenue

Stamp Duty
Entertainment tax
Assignment of L.R. and non-agricultural assessment of L.R.
Royalty on mining/minerals

## Grants-in-Aid

Grant in lieu of pilgrim tax
D.A. grants

Primary education grant
Secondary education grant

Motor vehicle grant
Tax on Professions
Grant for water supply \& drainage

## DUTIES, FUNCTIONS AND TAX POWERS OF MUNICIPALITIES IN WEST BENGAL AS PROVIDED BY RESPECTIVE MUNICIPAL ACTS <br> (West Bengal Municipal Act 1932; modified 1960)

## Obligatory Functions of Municipalities in West Bengal

1. The removal and disposal of sewerage, rubbish and offensive matter from all public latrines, urinals, drains and all public streets; and
2. Provision and maintenance of public latrines and urinals.

## Discretionary Functions of Municipalities in West Bengal

1. Provision of primary and middle schools;
2. Provision of water supply;
3. Control of epidemic and vaccinations;
4. Control and sale of food and drugs;
5. Regulation of offensive and dangerous trades;
6. Registration of births and deaths;
7. Provision of public hospitals and dispensaries;
8. Construction and maintenance of roads, bridges, public markets and slaughter houses;
9. Lighting and watering of public streets;
10. Establishment and maintenance of public gardens; and
11. Maintenance of fire brigades etc.

## Tax Powers of Municipalities in West Bengal

(All taxes as per the West Bengal Municipal Act are discretionary)

1. A property Tax
2. A water, lighting, conservancy, latrines and drainage rates;
3. A tax on animals and vehicles; and
4. A profession tax;
5. Education cess.

## Major Heads of Effective Taxes, Shared Revenues and Grants in West Bengal

Own Sources of Revenue

## Taxes

Property Tax
Tax on Carriage
Tax on Advertisement

## Non-Tax Sources

Rent and fees from markets and slaughter houses
Supply of water by tankers
Removal of sludge from septic tanks
Sale of forms
Building land sanction fees
Mutation fees

Shared Revenue<br>Entertainment Tax<br>Profession Tax/Trade and Calling<br>Motor Vehicles Tax<br>Entry Tax

## Grants-in-Aid

D.A. and A.D.A. Subvention

Grant to supplement water supply and drainage
Communication Grant

## ANNEXURE - C

|  | Population |  |  | Revenue from Own Sources |  |  | Total Revenue |  |  | Total Expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 |
| Hyderabad | 2964638 | 3069600 | 3178278 | 245.33 | 357.29 | 405.16 | 338.48 | 484.99 | 534.46 | 544.32 | 485.13 | 593.20 |
| Vijayawada | 701827 | 732980 | 765517 | 126.85 | 132.57 | 158.07 | 183.55 | 194.24 | 237.46 | 339.40 | 236.06 | 286.20 |
| cuntur | 471051 | 482865 | 494975 | 32.27 | 36.13 | 61.85 | 45.73 | 53.14 | 86.64 | 99.60 | 91.80 | 120.80 |
| Warangal | 447657 | 460804 | 474337 | 43.18 | 47.14 | 49.79 | 55.71 | 57.87 | 61.33 | 54.15 | 51.17 | 57.51 |
| Rajamundry | 324851 | 340429 | 356754 | 46.40 | 49.64 | 50.90 | 46.40 | 49.64 | 50.90 | NA | NA | NA |
| Kukatpally | 186963 | 251873 | 339319 | 13.30 | 7.35 | 13.54 | 18.80 | 13.33 | 20.43 | 19.30 | 18.41 | 27.85 |
| Nellore | 316606 | 325900 | 335467 | 33.37 | 30.35 | 32.29 | 56.93 | 54.54 | 59.92 | 61.05 | 57.04 | 61.09 |
| Kakinada | 279980 | 285990 | 292128 | 16.77 | 32.23 | 33.70 | 41.29 | 55.82 | 64.56 | 59.44 | 73.48 | 62.80 |
| Mizamabad | 241034 | 247757 | 254668 | 16.29 | 14.30 | 19.59 | 18.79 | 25.31 | 32.40 | 13.65 | 14.66 | 15.74 |
| Ramagundam | 214384 | 231517 | 250019 | 5.95 | 3.38 | 6.33 | 6.26 | 4.29 | 8.34 | 5.03 | 5.92 | 7.18 |
| Kurnool | 236800 | 240081 | 243406 | 17.62 | 17.81 | 25.63 | 35.74 | 40.28 | 52.03 | 27.93 | 34.68 | 30.52 |
| Eluru | 212866 | 217945 | 223144 | 16.84 | 16.20 | 18.95 | 16.84 | 16.20 | 18.95 | NA | NA | NA |
| Tirupati | 174369 | 181734 | 189410 | 19.50 | 17.28 | 23.33 | 34.78 | 33.70 | 44.84 | 30.49 | 33.63 | 50.59 |
| Anantpur | 174924 | 181713 | 188766 | 16.78 | 16.08 | 16.78 | 22.72 | 23.07 | 26.03 | 5.79 | 4.28 | 5.43 |
| L.8.Nagar | 155514 | 169118 | 183912 | 19.02 | 26.53 | 23.36 | 19.08 | 26.60 | 23.96 | NA | NA | NA |
| Vizianagram | 160359 | 165808 | 171443 | 17.94 | 14.87 | 16.72 | 26.98 | 29.61 | 35.82 | 37.18 | 34.21 | 36.52 |
| Gajumaka | 142915 | 154219 | 166418 | 4.32 | 10.08 | 13.26 | 5.86 | 13.52 | 18.10 | 1.00 | 6.60 | 9.87 |
| Karimnagar | 148583 | 156911 | 165706 | 13.73 | 17.72 | 25.61 | 20.67 | 24.76 | 34.15 | 15.17 | 20.09 | 16.83 |
| Machlipatnam | 159110 | 161329 | 163579 | 27.34 | 34.94 | 36.97 | 37.27 | 41.40 | 44.75 | 47.53 | 53.29 | 54.71 |
| Tenali | 143726 | 146434 | 149192 | 11.27 | 10.79 | 10.62 | 16.45 | 16.16 | 18.34 | 20.95 | 23.08 | 25.80 |
| Chittor | 133462 | 139421 | 145646 | 11.47 | 11.14 | 13.74 | 22.52 | 21.85 | 27.46 | 16.39 | 15.86 | 21.39 |
| Malkajgiri | 127178 | 135846 | 145105 | 7.58 | 8.36 | 7.80 | 12.96 | 13.86 | 14.32 | 12.97 | 17.11 | 12.20 |
| Qutubullapur | 106591 | 123728 | 143620 | 4.45 | 5.79 | 6.72 | 10.54 | 8.99 | 11.80 | 6.32 | 10.44 | 11.71 |
| adoni | 136182 | 139256 | 162399 | 8.52 | 8.89 | 8.48 | 11.17 | 13.39 | 12.95 | 12.00 | 14.40 | 15.60 |
| Proddatur | 133914 | 136944 | 140042 | 8.32 | 8.77 | 9.77 | 11.27 | 12.44 | 15.89 | 21.45 | 22.58 | 28.88 |
| Khamman | 127992 | 131354 | 134805 | 14.62 | 14.78 | 15.58 | 21.18 | 19.51 | 23.84 | 20.20 | 21.25 | 16.67 |
| madyal | 119813 | 123542 | 127387 | 8.04 | 9.06 | 10.61 | 23.30 | 22.88 | 32.18 | 19.13 | 25.33 | 22.57 |
| Bhimavaram | 121314 | 123449 | 125621 | 13.83 | 13.18 | 16.39 | 21.00 | 17.17 | 22.68 | 38.36 | 40.45 | 35.79 |
| cuddapah | 121463 | 123467 | 125505 | 5.70 | 5.76 | 5.82 | 7.73 | 9.00 | 6.74 | 20.00 | 22.20 | 24.50 |
| Guntakal | 107592 | 115900 | 124849 | 9.51 | 11.67 | 9.79 | 16.26 | 21.17 | 22.07 | 14.25 | 14.89 | 18.53 |
| Manbubnagar | 116833 | 120260 | 123787 | 9.06 | 10.25 | 9.43 | 11.75 | 12.61 | 13.07 | 10.61 | 10.82 | 10.88 |
| Gudi vada | 101656 | 104095 | 106593 | 10.43 | 11.34 | 11.92 | 23.54 | 25.38 | 28.71 | 15.91 | 14.71 | 20.52 |
| Ongote | 100836 | 102537 | 104267 | 11.27 | 10.09 | 12.99 | 13.12 | 20.46 | 14.94 | 14.92 | 19.57 | 21.12 |


|  | Population |  |  | Revenue from Own Sources |  |  | Total Revenue |  |  | Total Expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municipality | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 |
| Khapra | 87747 | 104447 | 124326 | 9.75 | 9.26 | 9.53 | 15.76 | 15.96 | 18.33 | 10.64 | 12.92 | 10.90 |
| Adi labad | 84255 | 88173 | 92273 | 4.87 | 5.13 | 6.68 | 17.31 | 13.99 | 16.49 | 14.67 | 3.91 | 3.55 |
| Anakapalle | 84356 | 85564 | 86788 | 6.65 | 6.73 | 7.49 | 17.45 | 17.78 | 19.97 | 22.18 | 23.81 | 26.44 |
| Madanapalle | 73820 | 76033 | 78313 | 11.68 | 9.33 | 10.35 | 21.72 | 17.36 | 18.74 | 8.80 | 10.05 | 12.45 |
| Tadpatri | 71068 | 73058 | 75103 | 5.12 | 5.03 | 6.02 | 10.88 | 16.35 | 15.64 | 8.97 | 11.38 | 14.61 |
| Jagtial | 67591 | 69227 | 70903 | 8.16 | 9.27 | 9.73 | 10.13 | 11.11 | 11.68 | 5.29 | 6.69 | 7.08 |
| Yermi ganur | 65089 | 66735 | 68424 | 3.76 | 3.71 | 4.69 | 6.01 | 5.63 | 7.71 | 10.20 | 8.04 | 8.27 |
| Tanuku | 62913 | 63927 | 64957 | 7.21 | 7.43 | 8.71 | 10.66 | 10.50 | 13.95 | 7.02 | 7.83 | 9.70 |
| Suryapet | 60630 | 62653 | 64744 | 4.05 | 3.78 | 4.79 | 6.84 | 5.88 | 7.48 | 5.64 | 4.58 | 7.42 |
| Gudur | 55984 | 57267 | 58580 | 2.70 | 2.88 | 3.50 | 3.54 | 4.36 | 5.45 | 3.21 | 3.60 | 4.03 |
| Palwancha | 53102 | 54750 | 56450 | 1.16 | 1.41 | 3.44 | 2.38 | 2.59 | 6.05 | 2.47 | 2.86 | 4.47 |
| Ponnur | 54363 | 54797 | 55235 | 2.86 | 2.96 | 3.08 | 4.53 | 5.43 | 5.66 | 6.10 | 6.91 | 5.37 |
| Sangareddy | 50123 | 52529 | 55052 | 2.55 | 2.90 | 3.25 | 3.56 | 3.80 | 4.91 | 3.62 | 3.84 | 4.63 |
| Kamareddy | 48666 | 50586 | 52583 | 5.31 | 4.42 | 4.50 | 7.23 | 8.60 | 8.75 | 6.60 | 9.13 | 6.97 |
| Amal apuram | 46029 | 46798 | 47580 | 5.61 | 8.54 | 8.48 | 11.11 | 14.22 | 16.44 | 10.84 | 11.05 | 14.48 |
| Nuzvid | 42685 | 43927 | 45204 | 2.65 | 2.94 | 3.94 | 4.37 | 4.79 | 6.09 | 5.37 | 5.97 | 7.53 |
| Parvath ipuram | 43497 | 44285 | 45087 | 3.16 | 2.62 | 2.59 | 8.57 | 10.40 | 11.41 | 7.02 | 6.87 | 7.16 |
| Kandukur | 41336 | 42359 | 43408 | 1.33 | 2.87 | 2.23 | 3.93 | 5.88 | 5.42 | 1.52 | 2.54 | 2.07 |
| Gadwal | 40742 | 41876 | 43042 | 2.48 | 2.47 | 2.54 | 3.23 | 3.58 | 7.15 | 2.48 | 3.03 | 3.01 |
| Amadal avalasa | 34395 | 36695 | 39149 | 1.13 | 1.56 | 1.73 | 2.06 | 3.29 | 3.53 | 2.75 | 3.12 | 3.34 |
| J angaon | 34305 | 35392 | 36513 | 2.49 | 2.40 | 3.21 | 3.40 | 3.52 | 4.83 | 3.36 | 3.39 | 4.10 |

Note : * indicates information received is incomplete in case of Expenditure Category.
Estimated Population，Revenue and Expenditure of Sample Municipalities in Assam
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| Municipality |
| :---: |
| Dibrugarh |
| Silchar |
| Nagaon |
| Tinsukia |
| Dhubr i |
| Jorhat |
| Tezpur |
| Goalpara |
| Karimganj |
| North Lakhimpur Sibsagar |
| Bongaigaon |
| Hojai |
| Barpeta Road |
| Golaghat |
| Kokrajhar |
| Hailakandi |
| Barpeta |
| Rangia |
| Mangaldai |
| Mariani |


|  | Population |  |  | Revenue from Own Sources |  |  | Total Revenue |  |  | Total Expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municipality | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 |
| Ahmdabad | 2872865 | 2970064 | 3070552 | 1264.54 | 1525.61 | 1761.03 | 1489.26 | 1780.73 | 2034.07 | 1604.37 | 1748.51 | 1998.07 |
| Surat | 1496943 | 1598479 | 1706903 | 1045.45 | 1191.67 | 1343.55 | 1085.62 | 1234.07 | 1393.62 | 597.71 | 522.89 | 798.85 |
| Vadodara | 1021084 | 1055286 | 1090633 | 495.51 | 648.52 | 711.10 | 566.62 | 738.69 | 820.09 | 546.69 | 581.67 | 1133.07 |
| Rajkot | 556137 | 568665 | 581475 | 233.35 | 271.98 | 317.11 | 268.31 | 293.77 | 337.86 | 189.81 | 168.51 | 224.43 |
| Bhavnagar | 400636 | 411428 | 422511 | 110.47 | 121.90 | 143.35 | 136.67 | 148.80 | 152.06 | 131.15 | 169.87 | 151.92 |
| Jamnagar | 325475 | 330657 | 335922 | 110.60 | 128.11 | 126.73 | 139.06 | 140.29 | 158.72 | 132.33 | 115.87 | 155.05 |
| Ndiad | 166852 | 169485 | 172160 | 42.03 | 51.71 | 54.32 | 50.57 | 64.10 | 65.58 | 33.00 | 43.73 | 51.78 |
| Bharuch | 132312 | 134770 | 137273 | 24.79 | 31.84 | 31.59 | 31.19 | 37.07 | 38.32 | 29.93 | 34.43 | 38.64 |
| Junagach | 130132 | 131340 | 132559 | 29.93 | 32.84 | 34.83 | 34.09 | 35.87 | 38.60 | 32.14 | 38.80 | 40.21 |
| Navsari | 125980 | 128079 | 130213 | 28.49 | 34.13 | 37.26 | 38.24 | 37.98 | 43.02 | 39.53 | 31.76 | 30.30 |
| Veraval-Patan | 115456 | 116523 | 117600 | 24.74 | 24.46 | 23.79 | 27.57 | 27.79 | 25.81 | 25.64 | 27.38 | 34.43 |
| Porbandar | 116546 | 116683 | 116821 | 23.50 | 26.65 | 26.38 | 26.97 | 29.32 | 29.02 | 24.67 | 26.00 | 31.05 |
| Anand | 110144 | 113178 | 116296 | 38.29 | 56.83 | 97.51 | 42.37 | 61.53 | 102.15 | 32.89 | 40.36 | 96.44 |
| Gandidham | 104392 | 110080 | 116077 | 18.93 | 22.62 | 25.78 | 20.72 | 24.19 | 27.73 | 19.24 | 22.77 | 24.46 |
| Surendrnagar | 105973 | 107764 | 109586 | 18.53 | 20.89 | 24.36 | 21.76 | 24.00 | 29.12 | 22.00 | 21.99 | 24.14 |
| Patna | 96109 | 97987 | 99902 | 19.91 | 24.15 | 27.56 | 22.11 | 25.22 | 28.28 | 21.46 | 28.56 | 32.57 |
| Godhra | 96514 | 97658 | 98816 | 12.71 | 13.28 | 16.88 | 16.74 | 17.07 | 20.15 | 16.36 | 14.08 | 19.81 |
| Bhuj | 91901 | 94479 | 97128 | 16.87 | 19.74 | 20.45 | 18.86 | 22.32 | 22.68 | 18.48 | 21.04 | 23.74 |
| Morvi | 90349 | 92255 | 94201 | 21.75 | 27.39 | 33.88 | 25.96 | 31.23 | 36.31 | 23.19 | 30.65 | 33.77 |
| Mahesana | 87889 | 89551 | 91245 | 18.58 | 18.47 | 23.47 | 21.10 | 21.42 | 25.17 | 13.30 | 16.37 | 21.52 |
| Kalol | 81916 | 83220 | 84545 | 12.88 | 13.82 | 21.07 | 15.46 | 15.62 | 22.81 | 15.20 | 21.00 | 23.75 |
| Gondal | 80506 | 82110 | 83745 | 13.19 | 14.32 | 17.20 | 19.32 | 20.00 | 20.67 | 16.44 | 19.87 | 18.70 |
| Dhoraji | 77683 | 77797 | 77910 | 10.12 | 11.86 | 16.07 | 12.25 | 15.78 | 17.54 | 11.40 | 18.38 | 24.12 |
| Khambat | 76724 | 74809 | 72941 | 12.42 | 13.43 | 14.85 | 17.03 | 16.08 | 17.57 | 15.03 | 16.34 | 11.76 |
| Amreli | 67740 | 68968 | 70219 | 16.83 | 29.85 | 18.89 | 18.29 | 31.41 | 19.19 | 18.49 | 17.46 | 21.22 |
| Dahod | 66444 | 67680 | 68940 | 11.40 | 11.28 | 11.40 | 14.54 | 12.60 | 14.53 | 12.33 | 11.89 | 11.40 |
| Botad | 64491 | 66117 | 67784 | 6.80 | 7.73 | 8.85 | 12.12 | 9.31 | 9.60 | 12.03 | 9.22 | 9.51 |
| Deesa | 61888 | 64376 | 66963 | 9.34 | 11.80 | 18.47 | 11.01 | 14.94 | 34.92 | 9.52 | 14.05 | 31.15 |
| Mahuva | 59675 | 60316 | 60965 | 12.40 | 16.56 | 21.61 | 18.35 | 20.41 | 27.17 | 17.64 | 22.11 | 23.42 |
| Visnagar | 57834 | 59093 | 60379 | 14.92 | 15.99 | 16.88 | 17.43 | 17.23 | 17.31 | 15.46 | 16.35 | 17.40 |
| Valsad | 57903 | 58307 | 58713 | 17.03 | 20.35 | 23.66 | 19.36 | 22.94 | 28.34 | 19.36 | 22.55 | 41.14 |
| Anjar | 51207 | 53407 | 55702 | 7.32 | 7.23 | 8.89 | 10.28 | 9.04 | 10.77 | 8.69 | 11.33 | 11.51 |

Estimated Population, Revenue and Expenditure of Sample Municipalities in Gujarat
(Rs.Million)

|  | Population |  |  | Revenue from Own Sources |  |  | Total Revenue |  |  | Total Expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 |
| Ankleshwar | 51708 | 53596 | 55554 | 10.74 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 13.37 | 17.78 | 14.10 | 12.54 | 19.33 | 15.70 |
| Dhranagathra | 54281 | 54591 | 54902 | 9.72 | 10.60 | 11.11 | 10.89 | 12.02 | 12.43 | 10.35 | 10.78 | 12.65 |
| Keshod | 50164 | 52465 | 54871 | 8.76 | 7.68 | 7.90 | 9.33 | 10.23 | 8.10 | 6.08 | 5.78 | 7.72 |
| Unjha | 50947 | 52501 | 54102 | 9.92 | 8.03 | 9.33 | 11.77 | 11.43 | 10.90 | 10.18 | 11.10 | 12.07 |
| Himatnagar | 50929 | 52180 | 53461 | 12.39 | 12.62 | 15.80 | 13.50 | 14.59 | 17.65 | 14.23 | 20.29 | 12.01 |
| Wadhwan | 49773 | 51024 | 52306 | 5.79 | 7.37 | 7.94 | 7.10 | 8.19 | 8.77 | 9.06 | 12.00 | 11.22 |
| Dabhoi | 50619 | 51292 | 51974 | 8.10 | 10.87 | 11.06 | 14.59 | 13.52 | 13.29 | 12.36 | 10.12 | 12.43 |
| Viramgam | 51089 | 51379 | 51671 | 6.81 | 7.10 | 9.08 | 8.55 | 8.68 | 10.84 | 8.87 | 10.32 | 12.23 |
| Bilimora | 49855 | 50510 | 51173 | 8.85 | 9.41 | 18.15 | 14.95 | 16.55 | 26.89 | 16.11 | 18.19 | 23.04 |
| Upleta | 51553 | 51229 | 50907 | 7.49 | 9.17 | 10.48 | 10.10 | 11.39 | 12.53 | 11.59 | 13.93 | 12.89 |
| Siddhpur | 50858 | 50750 | 50642 | 16.53 | 18.18 | 8.24 | 23.26 | 20.23 | 10.15 | 12.84 | 8.79 | 10.84 |
| Petlad | 48546 | 48701 | 48857 | 4.73 | 6.92 | 11.04 | 6.30 | 8.13 | 12.08 | 6.36 | 6.36 | 7.69 |
| Borsad | 46766 | 47662 | 48575 | 4.84 | 5.80 | 9.17 | 7.76 | 9.10 | 11.71 | 6.15 | 6.66 | 8.15 |
| Kadi | 42901 | 43834 | 44788 | 4.60 | 5.94 | 7.24 | 5.32 | 6.43 | 7.67 | 5.26 | 6.39 | 7.09 |
| Modasa | 42090 | 43261 | 44465 | 4.27 | 6.27 | 8.87 | 4.57 | 6.48 | 9.24 | 7.45 | 6.09 | 7.66 |
| Palitana | 41833 | 42653 | 43490 | 7.29 | 11.55 | 12.62 | 8.36 | 12.59 | 14.60 | 7.38 | 25.04 | 12.73 |
| Kapadwunj | 40764 | 41369 | 41983 | 11.91 | 13.13 | 11.29 | 14.66 | 19.34 | 14.41 | 13.00 | 15.05 | 16.57 |
| Una | 38708 | 39819 | 40961 | 5.35 | 5.96 | 6.79 | 5.58 | 6.80 | 7.35 | 7.71 | 12.85 | 14.26 |
| Bardoli | 38349 | 39556 | 40801 | 7.24 | 8.67 | 8.92 | 7.59 | 9.13 | 9.28 | 4.19 | 4.43 | 5.03 |
| Mandvi | 36589 | 37069 | 37556 | 5.48 | 5.74 | 5.78 | 6.33 | 6.51 | 6.37 | 5.91 | 6.85 | 7.16 |
| Wankaner | 36603 | 37075 | 37553 | 4.89 | 6.07 | 6.80 | 6.49 | 7.14 | 7.76 | 6.46 | 7.67 | 7.91 |
| Limbadi | 35291 | 35760 | 36235 | 5.20 | 6.26 | 8.89. | 6.96 | 7.50 | 9.81 | 7.31 | 6.74 | 9.74 |
| Vapi | 31501 | 33028 | 34630 | 3.39 | 4.71 | 5.79 | 3.57 | 4.77 | 5.84 | 3.24 | 5.07 | 5.17 |
| Rajpipla | 33098 | 33512 | 33932 | 5.23 | 5.88 | 8.46 | 6.26 | 6.44 | 9.18 | 6.08 | 7.10 | 9.24 |
| Khambhaliya | 31790 | 32390 | 33001 | 4.54 | 4.93 | 6.91 | 5.14 | 5.08 | 7.12 | 4.82 | 6.13 | 7.33 |
| Dehgam | 31341 | 32075 | 32825 | 3.39 | 2.95 | 4.55 | 3.39 | 2.95 | 4.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Vyara | 30861 | 31647 | 32453 | 6.10 | 5.71 | 5.57 | 7.24 | 7.36 | 6.29 | 4.82 | 5.26 | 12.99 |
| Jambusar | 31545 | 31882 | 32222 | 2.73 | 3.01 | 4.35 | 3.64 | 4.13 | 4.70 | 6.64 | 6.23 | 5.69 |
| Umreth | 30096 | 30282 | 30469 | 3.88 | 3.63 | 4.89 | 5.08 | 4.16 | 5.51 | 3.89 | 1.83 | 7.86 |
| Bagasara | 28381 | 28982 | 29596 | 3.64 | 4.02 | 4.50 | 4.17 | 4.61 | 5.08 | 3.79 | 4.32 | 5.53 |
| Padra | 28122 | 28230 | 28339 | 3.76 | 5.02 | 6.82 | 4.84 | 6.22 | 8.02 | 4.52 | 6.07 | 7.77 |

Estimated Population，Revenue and Expenditure of Sample Municipalities in Maharashtra
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|  | Population |  |  | Revenue from own Sources |  |  | Total Revenue |  |  | Total Expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municipality | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992 -93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 |
| Durgapur | 425836 | 439320 | 453230 | 11.41 | 11.99 | 21.98 | 36.14 | 54.11 | 54.77 | 39.44 | 51.72 | 48.59 |
| Panihati | 275990 | 284221 | 292697 | 12.60 | 16.97 | 16.60 | 25.09 | 33.22 | 23.72 | 25.18 | 31.90 | 24.64 |
| Kamarhati | 266889 | 270312 | 273780 | 16.71 | 18.38 | 18.55 | 30.45 | 33.28 | 29.78 | 27.41 | 28.42 | 29.22 |
| Burdwan | 245079 | 254607 | 264506 | 15.19 | 18.08 | 21.36 | 43.86 | 41.27 | 38.88 | 25.31 | 31.38 | 35.18 |
| Bally | 184474 | 188617 | 192853 | 10.38 | 13.85 | 13.78 | 31.33 | 25.77 | 32.86 | 35.90 | 38.94 | 36.11 |
| Raiganj | 151045 | 165558 | 181466 | 1.58 | 1.55 | 3.38 | 7.24 | 7.44 | 9.40 | 7.01 | 10.00 | 10.73 |
| Barrackpore | 133265 | 135214 | 137192 | 5.62 | 6.07 | 7.22 | 14.75 | 16.85 | 16.84 | 10.12 | 10.34 | 12.58 |
| Midnapore | 125498 | 130314 | 135315 | 4.28 | 7.43 | 9.75 | 13.68 | 16.60 | 21.03 | 17.85 | 23.03 | 23.00 |
| Krishnagar | 121110 | 123684 | 126312 | 5.43 | 4.48 | 6.24 | 6.95 | 7.10 | 7.65 | 14.05 | 13.53 | 13.43 |
| Santipur | 109956 | 113095 | 116324 | 1.34 | . 1.78 | 1.58 | 7.81 | 8.36 | 8.36 | 8.69 | 7.39 | 7.94 |
| Barasat | 102660 | 107215 | 111973 | 1.66 | 2.11 | 2.11 | 6.92 | 7.92 | 7.27 | 11.25 | 8.91 | 7.44 |
| Uttarpara-Kotrung | 101268 | 103736 | 106264 | 4.43 | 5.49 | 5.73 | 12.00 | 14.40 | 13.20 | 9.42 | 12.48 | 12.07 |
| Khardaha | 88358 | 94473 | 101011 | 4.63 | 5.36 | 7.15 | 7.90 | 9.01 | 10.40 | 11:13 | 12.06 | 13.84 |
| Cooch Behar | 71215 | 72194 | 73186 | 5.80 | 6.59 | 10.45 | 15.58 | 18.11 | 18.90 | 28.82 | 20.18 | 23.90 |
| New Barrackpur | 63795 | 65840 | 67951 | 2.88 | 3.21 | 3.51 | 8.09 | 8.09 | 8.05 | 7.75 | 8.51 | 7.89 |
| Ranaghat | 62532 | 62966 | 63402 | 2.51 | 2.42 | 3.91 | 6.57 | 6.46 | 7.38 | 10.26 | 7.69 | 9.42 |
| Katwa | 55541 | 58529 | 61677 | 3.45 | 2.86 | 2.82 | 10.16 | 9.47 | 9.45 | 11.77 | 11.72 | 10.36 |
| Tamluk | 38688 | 39769 | 40881 | 2.06 | 1.80 | 1.96 | 6.30 | 5.29 | 5.56 | 7.59 | 13.75 | 9.09 |
| Baruipur | 37659 | 39046 | 40484 | 1.62 | 1.66 | 2.40 | 2.70 | 1.96 | 4.67 | 2.02 | 0.91 | 0.92 |
| Gobardanga | 35939 | 36977 | 38045 | 0.23 | 0.78 | 0.63 | 1.67 | 2.50 | 2.07 | 3.71 | 2.06 | 2.18 |
| Kurseong | 26758 | 27839 | 28964 | 0.97 | 1.35 | 1.28 | 3.61 | 2.40 | 4.15 | 8.39 | 5.57 | 7.30 |
| Titagarh | NA | NA | NA | 4.02 | 5.91 | 5.75 | 13.94 | 15.34 | 13.92 | 13.92 | 17.70 | 13.94 |
| Hooghly Chinsurah | NA | NA | NA | 4.38 | 5.37 | 6.14 | 15.51 | 19.06 | 18.45 | 28.79 | 22.47 | 23.64 |
| Habra | NA | NA | NA | 0.81 | 0.76 | 4.53 | 7.38 | 6.06 | 9.77 | 10.75 | 9.97 | 9.91 |
| Uluberia | NA | NA | NA | 1.46 | 0.88 | 2.33 | 7.39 | 8.20 | 7.47 | 3.97 | 4.69 | 6.17 |

Note : * indicates Information received is incomplete in case of Expenditure Category.

ANNEXURE - D
ANNEXURE - D (Rs.million) Tot Revenue (All Rec.)

$\begin{array}{llllll}.3 & 21.8 & 22.0 & 151.7 & 164.4 & 189.8\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}7.3 & 21.8 & 22.0 & 189.8 & 164.4 & \\ 22.3 & 12.4 & 15.3 & 393.4 & 426.0 & 496.3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll} & 324.5 & 346.9 & 431.2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}500.0 & 659.9 & 750.8\end{array}$

(RS.Million) 2.nn!puxdx - 101
 Anthra Pradesh



| Andhre Pradeen |  | Percentage Share of ommezources, Shared Reverus, Grants in Aid, etc. in Potal Reverwe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| manicp- | semple |  | tot. Tox |  | Tot. Mon lox |  |  | Tot. (Tox 2 Mon) Tox |  |  | Tot. Rev. Sherod |  |  | Tot. Grente in Aid |  |  | Tot. other Recp. |  |  | Tot.Revenve (All Rec.) |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {clity }}$ |  | 1990.91 | 1981.92 | 1992-93 | 1990.91 | $1901-92$ | 1902-93 | $1990 \cdot 91$ | 1901-92 | 1992.03 | 1900.91 | 1 1991-92 | 1992.9 | 1990.91 | 1991-92 | 92-93 | 1990.91 | 1991.92 | 992-93 | 1980.9 | 1.9 | 1992-93 |
| A-Closs | 20 | 26.3 | 27.1 | 28.0 | 13.4 | 13.1 | 13.2 | 39.6 | 40.3 | 41.3 | 31.6 | 28.0 | 28.6 | 17.4 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 11.4 | 13.2 | 11.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| - class | 22 | 37.6 | 39.7 | 36.4 | 14.8 | 15.8 | 15.4 | 52.4 | 55.5 | 51.9 | 29.1 | 28.3 | 31.2 | 12.9 | 13.3 | 13.9 | 5.7 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| c.clest | 10 | 35.5 | 35.5 | 38.3 | 17.1 | 17.6 | 16.0 | 52.6 | 53.1 | 54.3 | 31.9 | 31.4 | 30.8 | 16.3 | 13.4 | 12.2 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0.Closs | 2 | 51.7 | 53.8 | 54.9 | 6.7 | 5.7 | 7.4 | 58.5 | 59.5 | 62.2 | 31.2 | 31.3 | 27.8 | 8.1 | 6.8 | 7.9 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| smple | 56 | 41.0 | 43.3 | 43.6 | 12.3 | 11.8 | 12.1 | 53.2 | 55.1 | 55.5 | 30.8 | 30.2 | 29.5 | 12.0 | 11.2 | 11.6 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Anchre | adesh |  |  |  |  |  | Percentag | Share | - of Expend | nditure of | of Gen.Ad | Adminatrotion | Ion, puol | dic neal | Ith, Publ | ic sofet | tr, Edxcal | cation etc | . In Totel | 1 Expend | diture |  |









1
A-Cless C.cless
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllll}\text { A-Cless } & 19 & 39.9 & 40.0 & 41.3 & 31.1 & 28.5 & 28.1 & 71.0 & 68.6 & 69.4 & 6.2 & 7.7 & 3.2 & 9.4 & 8.8 & 12.0 & 13.4 & 16.9 & 15.3 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0\end{array}$

0 (per cent).
Tot. Expenditure.

Assom

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
0.3 & 1.4 & .4 & 0<.4 & 03.0 & 0.0 \\
3.2 & 8.0 & 5.2 & 55.5 & 59.3 & 61.7
\end{array}
$$

..............(npers)

| Astm | Per Copito Expenditure of Gen.Achinatrotion, Public Hesl th, Public Sofety, Edication etc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (R4pers) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| muncip- <br> diley | smple | Gen. Aminisitrotion |  |  | Public Meath |  |  | Public. Sotety |  |  | Puplic.........ks |  |  | Edxation |  |  | Rcreot. Activities |  |  | others |  |  | Tot. Exponditure |  |  |
| cless |  | 1990.91 | 1991.92 | 1992-93 | $1990-91$ | $11991-92$ | 1992-93 | $1980 \cdot 91199$ | 991-92 | 1992.93 | 1980.91 | 1991.92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1981.92 | 1992-93 | $1890 \cdot 91$ | 1991.92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991.92 | $1992-93$ | 1900-91 | $1991-92$ | 1992.93 |
| A.cless | 19 | 13.7 | 15.6 | 19.2 | 15.0 | 14.7 | 15.9 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 15.5 | 17.6 | 18.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 51.7 | 54.7 | 60.1 |
| B-closs | 2 | 7.1 | 8.5 | 7.8 | 3.5 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 21.6 | 16.1 | 9.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 23.7 | 23.8 | 29.6 | 60.0 | 56.9 | 58.0 |
| c-class | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| o-class | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sample | 21 | 12.2 | 14.0 | 16.6 | 12.4 | 12.7 | 13.9 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 16.9 | 16.8 | 16.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 10.1 | 33.7 | 55.2 | 59.6 |



Percentage Share of Individual raxes in Total tax and rotal Non Tax combined
(Per cent)

| Municip- | Sample | Property Tax |  |  | Octroi |  |  | Profession Tax |  |  | Advertisement tax |  |  | Trade and Callings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ality <br> Class | Size | 1990-91 1991-92 1992-93 |  |  | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 992-93 | 1990-91 | 991-92 1992-93 |  |
| A-Class | 19 | 38.77 | 38.71 | 38.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.32 | 3.92 | 4.92 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 2.65 | 5.37 | 3.96 |
| B-Class | 2 | 34.43 | 34.83 | 29.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.10 | 4.61 | 4.06 | 0.31 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 3.30 | 4.02 | 6.66 |
| c-Class | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D-Class | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample | 21 | 37.76 | 37.76 | 36.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.74 | 4.09 | 4.70 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 2.80 | 5.03 | 4.66 |




::

Per Capita Share of Individual Taxes


Gujarat Percentage Share of Own Resources, Shared Revenues, Grant in Aid, etc. in Total Revenue
(Per cent)

| Municip- | Sample | Tot.taxes |  |  | Tot. Non rax |  |  | Tot.tax \& Non tax |  |  | Tot.Grant in Aid |  |  | Tot.Revenue |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| class |  | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-9 | 1991-1 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 |
| A-Class | 48 | 59.54 | 62.67 | 63.97 | 20.70 | 21.68 | 22.20 | 80.24 | 84.35 | 86.18 | 19.76 | 15.65 | 13.82 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| - Cl ass | 9 | 65.84 | 64.51 | 58.63 | 19.08 | 23.83 | 30.46 | 84.92 | 88.33 | 89.10 | 15.08 | 11.67 | 10.90 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| c-Class | 2 | 67.40 | 75.42 | 74.87 | 12.78 | 11.06 | 12.04 | 80.18 | 86.48 | 86.91 | 19.82 | 13.52 | 13.09 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| D-Class | 4 | 71.54 | 73.95 | 73.61 | 17.58 | 15.93 | 16.51 | 89.12 | 89.88 | 90.12 | 10.88 | 10.12 | 9.88 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| All Samp | 63 | 69.46 | 72.11 | 71.58 | 17.77 | 16.84 | 17.86 | 87.22 | 88.96 | 89.44 | 12.78 | 11.04 | 10.56 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

[^12]cujerat

| Gujerst Expenditure of Gen. Aministration, Public Mealth, Pallic Safety, Edication etc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mnicip- semple } \\ & \text { alley } \\ & \text { siast } \\ & \text { clas } \end{aligned}$ |  | Fire fighting serv. |  |  | street tight serv. |  |  | woter supply serv. |  |  | Public Heoteh Serv. |  |  | medical services |  |  | Pre./prim. Education |  |  | Sec, High. Sec. Ed. |  |  | Tech. Edxation |  |  |
|  |  | 1990-9 | $1991-92$ | 1992-93 | 1900.91 | 1991.92 | 1992-93 |  |  |  | 1900 |  |  | 1-90.91 |  | 1992-93 | 199 | 1991.92 | 2. | 90 | 1981-92 | 1992.93 | 00. | 91-9 | 2- |
| a-Cless | 48 | 5.7 | 8.2 | 10.2 | 23.0 | 32.5 | 32.7 | 76.8 | 94.1 | 125.2 | 118.5 | 133.7 | 153.5 | 18.9 | 20.9 | 19.6 | 30.9 | 15.1 | 15.0 | 5.6 | 7.6 | 8.8 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 0.5 |
| B-Cless | 9 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 12.2 | 13.7 | 15.3 | 38.8 | 48.9 | 46.8 | 62.7 | 70.0 | 97.0 | 7.0 | 8.5 | 9.4 | 22.3 | 11.4 | 11.1 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| c-cless | 2 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 5.7 | 7.7 | 9.2 | 33.0 | 42.3 | 21.3 | 46.2 | 52.3 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.1 | 37.1 | 70.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| d-cless | 4 | 48.1 | 54.0 | 72.4 | 72.8 | 83.0 | 116.0 | 285.7 | 357.6 | 399.9 | 501.3 | 386.7 | 59.7 | 139.9 | 158.4 | 246.1 | 518.8 | 491.6 | 54.1 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 12.9 | 16.1 | 20.0 |
| All sempl | 63 | 62.5 | 2.3 | 93.3 | 131.7 | 137.0 | 13.2 | 636.3 | 542.9 | 593.1 | 78.7 | 651.6 | 914.6 | 165.8 | 187.8 | 2750 | 633.0 | 55s.2 | 637.2 | 12.2 | 16.1 | 16.0 | 14.1 | 18.0 | 20.7 |

Gujerat Percentage Share of Expenditure of Gen. Administration, Public Mealth, Public sofety, Edcaction etc.
Gujerat Percentage Share of Expenditure of Gen. Administration, Pablic Meat th, Public Safety, Education etc. (Per cent)



(coned..) (ns.n(1)Ion) onna puadxa .30
 (conto..)



Gujerst Per capite Expenditure of Gen. Aoministrotion, Puolic Heal th, public sofetr, Education etc.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mnicip- sampie } \\ & \text { ale } \\ & \text { ality } \\ & \text { sizess } \end{aligned}$ |  | Fires Pight ing serv. |  |  | street Light serv. |  |  | water supply serv. |  |  | Puol ic Meei th serv. |  |  | medical services |  |  | Pre./Prim. Etcretion |  |  | Soc, Might. Sec. Ed. |  |  | Tectinicol Eaxation. |  |  |
|  |  | 1990.91 | 91 | 1992-93 | 900 | 1991.92 | 902.93 | 990. | 1 | 92-93 | 1990 | 901.92 | 992 | $1980 \cdot 91$ | 1981.92 | 1992 -93 | 1900 | 1.92 | 1992-93 | 90-9 | 1991.92 | 1992.93 | 1090. |  | -93 |
| A-Cless | 48 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 9.0 | 12.5 | 12.4 | 30.1 | 36.2 | 4.3 | 46.6 | 51.5 | 58.0 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 7.4 | 12.1 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| B-Clost | $\bigcirc$ | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 11.0 | 12.2 | 13.3 | 35.0 | 43.3 | 60.7 | 56.6 | 70.1 | 8.6 | 6.6 | 7.5 | 8.1 | 20.1 | 10.1 | 9.6 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| c-ctass | 2 | 4.0 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 7.8 | 10.4 | 12.1 | 45.5 | 57.0 | 28.0 | 63.6 | 70.6 | 85.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 50.0 | 92.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.closs | 4 | 8.1 | 8.7 | 1.2 | 12.2 | 13.4 | 18.0 | 48.0 | 57.7 | 62.0 | 86.3 | 62.6 | 93.0 | 23.5 | 25.6 | 38.2 | 87.2 | 70.6 | 83.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 3.1 |
| All smpl | 63 | 6.1 | 6.8 | 8.5 | 11.0 | 12.8 | 15.7 | 42.0 | 50.9 | 53.9 | 70.5 | 61.1 | 83.1 | 16.0 | 17.6 | 25.0 | 61.2 | 52.1 | 57.9 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.9 |





| A-Class | 48 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 74.2 | 74.3 | 74.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-Class | 9 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 77.5 | 73.0 | 65.8 |
| C-Class | 2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 84.1 | 87.2 | 86.1 |
| D-Class | 4 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 80.3 | 82.3 | 81.7 |
| All Sampl | 63 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 79.6 | 81.1 | 80.0 |




| All Sampl | 63 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 69.5 | 72.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 71.6 |  | 71.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Percentage Share of Individual Taxes in Total tax and Total Non Tax Combined

Gujarat
Municip- Sample Drainage Tax Municip-
ality

A-Class
B-Class
Gujarat
Percentage Share of Individual Taxes in Total Revenue

$\cdot$ $9 . \varepsilon \begin{array}{lllllllllll}6 . \Sigma L & 5 . L L & 7.0 & 5.0 & \varepsilon .0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0\end{array}$ $\because$ 0 $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ 0.



$\begin{array}{cccccccccc}0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & \varepsilon .0 & £ .0 & 2.0 & 6.8 & \& .8 & 8.2 & 9.5 \\ 5 . \%\end{array}$


$\stackrel{0}{\circ}$
$\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$
anuanay xel lejol u! saxel lenp!n!pul to aseys a6ejuajadad
iujarat
iujarat
saxel lejol u! saxel lenp!a!pul to aseys eatdej jad
(Contd..)
(qua nad)
 -
Percentage Share of Individual taxes in Total Tax Revenue

Gujarat
Perches

$$
x x_{\perp} \text { aן:чал }
$$

A
Per Capita Share of Individual Taxes in Total Taxes
(Rupees)



Expenditure of Gen.adminstration. Public Health, Public Safety, Education etc.



| Mahara |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Per Capito | to Amount | of oun | Resources | ces, shared | ed Reverue | we, Grants | ts in Aid, | d, etc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municap- |  |  | rot. Iox |  |  | ot. Mon tor |  | Tot. $\ 10$ | Iax 4 Mon) | ) Tax | lot. | Rev. Shared |  | Tot. Gr | Grants in | naid |  | Other Recp |  | lot. Rev | versue call | (11 Rec.) |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {class }}$ |  | $1990 \cdot 91$ | 1991.92 | 1992.93 | $1990 \cdot 91$ | 11991.92 | 1992.93 | 1990.91 | 1991.92 | 1992.93 | 1990 | 901-92 | 1992.93 | $1990 \cdot 91$ | $991-92$ | 22-93 | 1990.9 | 1.92 | 192.93 | $1990 \cdot 91$ | 1981.92 | 1892.0 |  |  |  |
| A.Class | 16 | 217.4 | 241.1 | 285.6 | 54.6 | 64.0 | 72.8 | 272.0 | 305.2 | 358.4 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 6.9 | 11.9 | 126.3 | 127.4 | 12.6 | 18.4 | 19.2 | 407.3 | 455.6 | 511.9 |  |  |  |
| B.closs | 3 | 265.4 | 278.0 | 282.6 | 22.0 | 23.7 | 26.0 | 287.5 | 301.7 | 308.6 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 11.6 | 133.5 | 164.4 | 84.3 | 17.9 | 100.0 | 467.8 | 511.3 | 577.1 |  |  |  |
| c.closs | 10 | 306.2 | 336.3 | 376.7 | 50.7 | 60.7 | 61.2 | 356.9 | 397.0 | 437.9 | 4.9 | 7.4 | 6.1 | 60.0 | 64.2 | 64.5 | 14.3 | 0.3 | 10.5 | 436.1 | 616.9 | 518.9 |  |  |  |
| o.class | 4 | 528.4 | 583.9 | 631.3 | 269.0 | 265.9 | 259.9 | 771.4 | 849.9 | 891.1 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 8.4 | 21.1 | 26.1 | 21.9 | 10.8 | 36.2 | 21.9 | 813.1 | 914.9 | 943.3 |  |  |  |
| Sample | 33 | 472.5 | 520.2 | 563.8 | 203.3 | 217.3 | 212.0 | 675.8 | 737.5 | 775.8 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 7.8 | 34.0 | 39.6 | 37.5 | 12.7 | 31.4 | 21.7 | 126.5 | 812.1 | 88.8 |  |  |  |
| Maharasher |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | copito | - Expendi | iture of | Gen.ad | aminstration | ion, Pul | ic Health | th, Public | cote | Educat | ion erc. |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Ramers) |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sample } \\ & \text { size } \end{aligned}$ | cen. A | Aaministra | ation |  | Public Mea |  | Publi | ic safet |  |  | ic works |  |  | Education |  | Rereal | 1. Activi | 交ties |  | others |  |  | Experex |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ality } \\ & \text { closs } \end{aligned}$ |  | $1980 \cdot 91$ | 1991.92 | 1992-93 | $1990 \cdot 91$ | 11991.92 | 1992.93 | 1890991 | 1991.921 | 1992.93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 19 | 1992.93 | $1990 \cdot 91$ | 1991.92 | $1992 \cdot 9$ | $1990 \cdot 91$ | 1991.92119 | 1992-93 | $1990 \cdot 91$ | 1981.92 | 1992 | 900.91 | 1991.92 | 929.93 |
| A-Closs | 16 | 63.4 | 73.4 | 82.4 | 11.3 | 123.1 | 142.7 | 23.9 | 39.9 | 31.6 | 75.0 | 71.6 | 102.9 | 53.4 | 57.3 | 50.4 | 15.8 | 22.2 | 21.2 | 57.3 | 61.1 | 66.4 | 400.0 | 654.7 | 497.6 |
| B.closs | 3 | 89.3 | 89.5 | 96.8 | 197.4 | 188.9 | 203.9 | 23.1 | 25.0 | 29.2 | 64.6 | 49.2 | 66.2 | 41.7 | 40.5 | 44.7 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 28.6 | 80.5 | 59.0 | 44.1 | 477.4 | 503. 5 |
| c-class | 10 | 37.5 | 46.7 | 52.4 | 99.2 | 120.9 | 136.7 | 17.4 | 19.4 | 17.3 | 98.7 | 101.9 | 122.1 | 56.8 | 60.6 | 47.8 | 18.5 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 71.8 | 89.3 | 107.8 | 400.1 | 465.7 | 601.3 |
| D.class | 4 | 55.1 | 57.0 | 70.2 | 189.9 | 220.8 | 279.3 | 13.5 | 14.3 | 17.2 | 107.2 | 120.8 | 145.6 | 102.9 | 115.3 | 14.2 | 9.1 | 0.6 | 9.7 | 238.4 | 301.3 | 335.7 | 726.1 | 839.0 | 907.9 |
| Sample | 33 | 53.5 | 56.9 | 68.6 | 172.2 | 199.2 | 267.0 | 14.9 | 16.6 | 18.2 | 103.3 | 113.9 | 137.8 | 91.9 | 101.9 | 118.1 | 10.7 | 9.6 | 9.7 | 206.6 | 250.3 | 278.0 | 652.9 | 188.6 | 877.2 |



| Municap- | Sample | Property rax |  |  | Octroi |  |  | Profession Tax |  |  | Advertisement Tax |  |  | ade and Ca |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ality Class |  | 1990-91 | 1991-7.92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 91-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 91-92 | 92-93 | 1990-91 |  | 92-93 |
| A-Class | 16 | 16.5 | 15.8 | 16.4 | 74.3 | 73.6 | 73.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8-Class | 3 | 16.3 | 16.7 | 12.0 | 77.7 | 76.8 | 83.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| c-Class | 10 | 10.5 | 13.0 | 11.6 | 84.4 | 81.0 | 81.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| D-Class | 4 | 25.2 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 74.0 | 75.3 | 75.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sample | 33 | 23.4 | 22.5 | 22.3 | 75.1 | 75.8 | 76.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |


(sazdny)

| Municap- |  | Property Tax |  |  | octroi |  |  | Profession tax |  |  | Advertisement tax |  |  | Trade and Callings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class |  | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-9 |
| A-Class | 16 | 35.9 | 38.0 | 46.7 | 161.5 | 177.4 | 209.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| B-Class | 3 | 43.2 | 46.4 | 33.8 | 206.2 | 213.5 | 234.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| C-Class | 10 | 32.2 | 43.6 | 43.5 | 258.5 | 272.5 | 307.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| D-Class | 4 | 133.0 | 140.0 | 151.7 | 391.0 | 439.5 | 475.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Sample | 33 | 110.5 | 117.3 | 125.7 | 354.9 | 394.6 | 428.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

Maharashtra
Percentage Share of Individual taxes in Iotal Tax Revenue
(Per cent)

| Hunicapality | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \end{gathered}$ | Vehicles, boats \& Anim |  |  | Entertainment tax |  |  | Betterment Levies |  |  | Others |  |  | Total Iax Revenue |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class |  | 1990-9 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | $1991-92$ | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991.92 | 1992.93 |
| A-Class | 16 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 6.8 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| B-Class | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| C-Class | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 6.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| D-Class | 4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Sample | 33 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Percentage Share of Individual Taxes in Iotal Revenue
(Per cent)
 Per Capita Share of Individual Taxes

| Municap- | Sample | vehicle | es, boats | \& Anim | Enter | rtainment |  |  | Betterment | Levies |  | Others |  | Total | Tax Rev | venue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ality <br> Class |  | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992.93 |
| A-Class | 16 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 6.1 | 14.8 | 19.1 | 22.5 | 217.4 | 241.1 | 285.6 |
| B-Class | 3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 14.6 | 16.7 | 11.6 | 265.4 | 278.0 | 282.6 |
| C-Class | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 13.5 | 17.8 | 23.0 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 306.2 | 336.3 | 376.7 |
| D-Class | 4 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 528.4 | 583.9 | 631.3 |
| Sample | 33 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 5.5 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 472.5 | 520.2 | 563.8 |

West Bengal

|  |  | Amount of am Resources, Shared Reverne, Grants in sid, etc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Rs.million) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mnicip- | sample | Tot. Tox |  |  | Tot. Mon Iox |  |  | Tot. (Tox \& Mon) Tox |  |  | Tot. Rev. Shered |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | totrreverwe (All Rec.) |  |  |
| cless |  | 1980-91 1991-92 1992.93 1980-91 1991-92 1992-93 |  |  |  |  |  | 1990-91 1991.92 1992-93 |  |  | 1990-91 1991-92 9992.93 |  |  | 1990-91 $1991.92 \quad 1992.93$ |  |  | 1990.911991 .921992 .93 |  |  | 1990-911.1991.92 1902.93 |  |  |
| A-Cless | B | 8.9 | 10.8 | 10.7 | 7.2 | 6.4 | 11.5 | 16.0 | 17.2 | 22.2 | 13.1 | 13.6 | 14.6 | 21.5 | 19.8 | 21.6 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 1.8 | 56.7 | 56.3 | 60.2 |
| s-cless | 9 | 26.6 | 32.4 | 36.0 | 7.8 | 11.0 | 15.8 | 36.4 | 43.6 | 51.9 | 36.5 | 36.4 | 4.1 | 35.2 | 28.1 | 36.1 | 2.5 | 5.5 | 3.2 | 108.6 | 113.5 | 130.2 |
| c.closs | 6 | 29.3 | 29.3 | 45.3 | 8.6 | 14.9 | 12.3 | 38.0 | 4.1 | 57.6 | 39.3 | 44.6 | 43.6 | 4.1 | 43.2 | 33.5 | 17.3 | 29.9 | 12.4 | 135.5 | 161.9 | 147.2 |
| 0-Cless | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Somple | 21 | 4.8 | 12.5 | 92.1 | 23.6 | 32.2 | 39.7 | 88.4 | 104.7 | 131.7 | 88.9 | 96.7 | 9.3 | 97.7 | 91.2 | 89.2 | 23.8 | 39.1 | 17.4 | 298 | 329.6 | 337.6 |

Expenditure of Gen.Adminstration, Public Health, Public Safety, Education etc.

.and.91 1991.92 1992-93

| cles | 8 | 12.7 | 21.1 | 16.7 | 26.4 | 16.8 | 23.7 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 15.4 | 13.0 | 9.5 | 12.2 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 11.0 | 13.6 | 80.3 | 70.4 | п. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-Cl | 9 | 17.7 | 20.4 | 21.3 | 60.6 | 71.7 | 71.6 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 21.8 | 17.4 | 15.1 | 5.7 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 16.5 | 18. | 125.4 | 136.7 | 137 |
| c-ctess | 4 | 20.1 | 23.8 | 29.5 | 4.9 | 59.8 | 53.4 | 9.0 | 8.2 | 0.5 | 20.4 | 23.5 | 30.0 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 14.6 | 24.3 | 16.3 | 17.3 | 43.6 | 137.6 |
| -ctoss | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 21 | 2. 5 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 134.6 | 14.3 | 148.6 | 15.4 | 4.5 | 14.2 | 37.6 | 3.9 | 54.5 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 15.7 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0. | 43.6 | 51. | 46. | 323.1 | 350.5 |  |


גבנבנטן

| 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0.0 |  | 응 | 0 |
| :--- |
| 0 |
| 0 | $\circ$
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Aposes sulo

| West Bengal Per Copita Expenditure of Gen.Adninstration, Pulic Meat th, Public Sofety, Edvcation etc. (Rupees) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municip- <br> ality Clasg <br> Class | $\begin{gathered} \text { semplet } \\ \text { size } \end{gathered}$ | Gen. Administration |  |  | Public Meal th |  |  | Public safety |  |  | Public Horks |  |  | Edxcation |  |  | Rereat. Activities |  |  | others |  |  | Tot. Expenditure |  |  |
|  |  | 1990.911991 .92 1992-93 1990.91 1991.921992 .93 |  |  |  |  |  | 199 |  |  | 1990.011991 .921992 .93 |  |  | 1990.911901 .921992 .93 |  |  | $1990.911991 .921992-93$ |  |  | 1980.91 | 1991.921982 .93 |  | 1900-91 | 991.92 1992.93 |  |
| A-class | 8 | 32.5 | 52.3 | 35.5 | 62.1 | 41.6 | 57.1 | 6.0 | 5.3 | 7.7 | 39.2 | 32.3 | 22.8 | 31.2 | 15.9 | 15.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.6 | 27.2 | 32.8 | 204.8 | 174.6 | 171.4 |
| B-Closs | 9 | 15.8 | 17.5 | 17.6 | 54.0 | 61.7 | 59.1 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 19.5 | 15.0 | 12.5 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 14.2 | 15.5 | 12.2 | 117.6 | 113.5 |
| C-Class | 4 | 16.6 | 19.0 | 23.0 | 4.1 | 47.9 | 4.6 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 5.1 | 16.8 | 18.8 | 23.3 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 12.0 | 19.5 | 11.1 | 8.7 | 114.9 | 107.2 |
| D-Clost | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sample | 21 | 18.5 | 23.2 | 22.5 | 49.4 | 52.7 | 51.1 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 21.2 | 19.2 | 18.7 | 7.3 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 16.0 | 10.4 | 16.0 | 118.6 | 124.6 | 11.9 |

West Bengal
Percentage Share of Individual Taxes in Total Tax Revenue

West Bengal
Percentage Share of Individual Taxes in Total Tax and Non Tax Combined
(Per cent)
Total Tax Revenue

| A-Class | 8 | 48.37 | 53.92 | 42.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 6.68 | 8.53 | 5.56 | 55.33 | 62.75 | 48.18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-Class | 9 | 71.30 | 71.44 | 63.49 | 0.49 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.31 | 0.34 | 0.25 | 1.40 | 0.95 | 1.32 | 3.79 | 1.89 | 4.29 | 77.29 | 74.70 | 69.45 |
| C-Class | 4 | 75.11 | 64.35 | 73.95 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.72 | 1.36 | 1.09 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 3.03 | 1.26 | 0.46 | 0.55 | 77.23 | 66.36 | 78.63 |
| D-Class | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample | 21 | 68.78 | 65.58 | 64.45 | 0.25 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.48 | 0.76 | 0.62 | 0.55 | 0.43 | 1.90 | 3.23 | 2.37 | 2.87 | 73.29 | 69.22 | 69.88 |

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllll}21 & 68.78 & 65.58 & 64.45 & 0.25 & 0.09 & 0.05 & 0.48 & 0.76 & 0.62 & 0.55 & 0.43 & 1.90 & 3.23 & 2.37 & 2.87 & 73.29 & 69.22\end{array}$ Sample


[^0]:    * This paper has been prepared by Om Prakash Mathur in association with Kamlesh Misra. Statistical assistance has beenprovided by Sandeep Thakur, Chandni Watal and Gauri Sud. Thanks are due to A. Bagchi for his valuable suggestions and comments.

[^1]:    1
    In addition to studies, a number of Commissions and Committees have deliberated on issues relating to the finances of municipalities. See, for details, National Institute of Urban Affairs, Urban Local Bodies : A Summary of Recommendations of Selected Commissions, Committees and Conferences, 1989, New Delhi.

[^2]:    3 There is a rich literature that wrestles with the question of why functions and/or powers are divided between the levels of governments and examines how that division should be determined at any given point of time. See, W.E. Oates, Fiscal Federalism, 1972, Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, New York.

    4 This paper is a part of a larger study on the Implications of the Constitution Seventy-Fourth Amendment on the Finances of Municipalities.

[^3]:    5 See, Abhijit Datta, "Institutional Aspects of Urban Governance", mimeo, 1994.

[^4]:    6 For details on the fiscal powers of the States, see, Amaresh Bagchi, "Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations : The Case of India and Indonesia", paper presented at the Seminar on Intergovernmental Relations in China, September 1994.

[^5]:    7
    A set of duties, functions and powers of municipalities in a sample of States is in Annex B.

[^6]:    8 Municipalities in some States, in particular, Gujarat and Maharashtra raise significantly large amounts and display strong linkages with the economy. They are evidently exceptions to this general observation.

[^7]:    9 Different levels of public expenditure are said to be one of the main reasons for interretional migration of labour and capital.

[^8]:    11 The term taxes is being used here to include taxes, duties, levies and fees.

[^9]:    12 See, Anwar Shah. "Theory and Practice of Intergovernmental Transfers", The World Bank.
    Washington. D.C.. 1994.

[^10]:    13 More ofien than not, assignment of tax responsibilities are determined independent of the assignment of expenditures. It is this separation that is said to be the principal factor underlying the vertical fiscal imbalance.

    14 Ved P. Gandhi, "Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations and Economic Performance", International Monetary Fund, Washington D.C.. 1994.

[^11]:    Postscript:
    The data for this paper has been furnished by the State governments of Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Gujarat, Maharashtra and West Bengal. Other States have so far not been able to respond to NIPFP's request for making available simple finance data of their municipalities. Even the data of the five States suffer from severe inadequacies and inconsistencies. In the interest of the work of the State Finance Commissions, it is essential for the State governments to give special attention to compilation of municipal finance data on a regular basis.

[^12]:    

